

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION

TEXTRON INNOVATIONS INC.*

* April 19, 2023

VS.

*

* CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:21-CV-740

SZ DJI TECHNOLOGY CO., *
LTD. ET AL *

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ALAN D ALBRIGHT
JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS
Volume 3 of 5

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: Kurt Pankratz, Esq.
Morgan G. Mayne, Esq.
Harrison Rich, Esq.
Emily M. Deer, Esq.
Baker Botts
2001 Ross Ave., Suite 900
Dallas, TX 75206

Kevin J. Meek, Esq.
Mark A. Speegle, Esq.
Lance Joseph Goodman, Esq.
Boyang Zhang, Esq.
Baker Botts, LLP
98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 1500
Austin, TX 78701

Mark Siegmund, Esq.
Cherry Johnson Siegmund James, PLLC
The Roosevelt Tower
400 Austin Avenue, 9th Floor
Waco, Texas 76701

For the Defendant: J. Michael Jakes, Esq.
Qingyu Yin, Esq.
Sydney Kestle, Esq.
Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett
& Dunner LLP
901 New York Ave. Nw
Washington, DC 20001

1 Benjamin R. Schlesinger, Esq.
2 Robert High, Esq.
3 Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett
4 & Dunner LLP
5 271 17th St Nw, Suite 1400
6 Atlanta, GA 30363

7 Jacob Schroeder, Esq.
8 Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett
9 & Dunner LLP
10 Stanford Research Park
11 3300 Hillview Avenue, 2nd Floor
12 Palo Alto, CA 94304

13 John P. Palmer, Esq.
14 Jacqueline Altman, Esq.
15 Naman Howell Smith & Lee
16 P.O. Box 1470
17 Waco, TX 76703-1470

18 Court Reporter: Kristie M. Davis, CRR, RMR
19 PO Box 20994
20 Waco, Texas 76702-0994
21 (254) 340-6114

22 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography,
01:29 23 transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

01:29

24

25

01:11 1 (Hearing begins.)

01:11 2 THE BAILIFF: All rise.

01:11 3 THE COURT: Please remain standing for

01:11 4 the jury.

01:11 5 (Jury entered the courtroom.)

01:11 6 THE COURT: Thank you. You may be

01:11 7 seated.

01:11 8 Thanks to all of you for accommodating my

01:12 9 schedule. We had sentencings this morning. I

01:12 10 apologize for interrupting the trial. It's just...

01:12 11 So I believe I'm going to ask the

01:12 12 defendant to call their next witness.

01:12 13 MR. HIGH: DJI calls their next witness

01:12 14 by deposition, Mr. Jeffrey Epp.

01:12 15 (Video deposition of Jeffrey Epp played as follows.)

01:12 16 Q. State your name for the record.

01:12 17 A. Jeffrey Epp.

01:12 18 Q. Do you understand you are under oath today?

01:12 19 A. Yes.

01:12 20 Q. And you understand that being under oath means

01:12 21 you are required to testify truthfully just as if you

01:12 22 were in a court?

01:12 23 A. Yes.

01:12 24 Q. And if I ask a question and you do not -- you

01:12 25 do not understand the question, feel free to ask me to

01:12 1 clarify it. But if you answer my question, I will
01:12 2 assume that you understood the question.

01:12 3 Is that okay?

01:12 4 A. Okay. Yes.

01:12 5 Q. And is there any reason you cannot testify
01:13 6 truthfully, accurately and completely today?

01:13 7 A. No.

01:13 8 Q. Do you remember any other UAV-related projects
01:13 9 at innovation group?

01:13 10 A. Yes. There were some other unmanned products.

01:13 11 Q. Do you remember the name of those unmanned
01:13 12 projects?

01:13 13 A. APT was one of them, A-P-T.

01:13 14 Q. Is there a product for APT?

01:13 15 A. It was never -- it was never sold or went to
01:13 16 production.

01:13 17 Q. Is APT being -- what's the full name? Sorry.

01:13 18 A. Autonomous pod transport.

01:13 19 Q. Okay. Just based on your knowledge -- first
01:13 20 of all, is it -- was it designed for military use or
01:13 21 commercial use?

01:13 22 Do you know?

01:13 23 A. Both.

01:13 24 Q. For commercial, do you know what kind of use
01:13 25 case it was targeted at?

01:13 1 A. Cargo.

01:13 2 Q. Do you know if there is any potential market
01:14 3 or customer innovation team we're looking at?

01:14 4 A. I know they were looking for customers that
01:14 5 would, you know, that -- that deliver cargo. I mean,
01:14 6 like I said, it was a transport -- you know, it was to
01:14 7 transport goods. So it was a -- like I said, it was a
01:14 8 cargo transport.

01:14 9 Q. Any other utility that innovation team was
01:14 10 designing or developing for APT?

01:14 11 A. Any other utility?

01:14 12 Q. Any other, maybe, use case.

01:14 13 A. I know they were planning to use it for a
01:14 14 military cargo transport.

01:14 15 Q. Okay. So sounds like it's still mainly
01:14 16 targeted for cargo transport?

01:14 17 A. Yes.

01:14 18 Q. Okay. For cargo transport, what are important
01:14 19 for the aircraft to perform the function?

01:14 20 A. It has to have the ability to carry a payload.

01:15 21 Q. What would be the requirement to carry a
01:15 22 payload?

01:15 23 A. It has to be able to have a vehicle weight
01:15 24 greater than itself -- fly with a vehicle weight
01:15 25 greater than itself.

01:15 1 Q. So have you heard of relative initial velocity
01:15 2 mode?

01:15 3 A. Yes. I helped develop that.

01:15 4 Q. Can you explain the background for developing
01:15 5 the relative inertial velocity mode?

01:15 6 A. That was for a project where the aircraft
01:15 7 would be landing on a boat.

01:15 8 Q. Okay.

01:15 9 A. And that was developed to allow for a way of
01:15 10 controlling the aircraft relative to the boat.

01:15 11 Q. Before this RIV mode, how did people land an
01:15 12 aircraft on a moving vehicle?

01:15 13 A. They would just have to fly the aircraft
01:15 14 toward the boat.

01:16 15 Q. You mean manually control the aircraft?

01:16 16 A. Right.

01:16 17 Q. What was the challenge for that conventional
01:16 18 way to do it?

01:16 19 A. The -- the boat was moving and the -- you
01:16 20 know, the pilot would have to fly the aircraft toward
01:16 21 the boat. And the boat could change directions, and
01:16 22 the pilot would then have to change directions of the
01:16 23 aircraft. And the pilot would have to -- and the pilot
01:16 24 was flying the aircraft in -- in the aircraft axes.

01:16 25 Q. To control the aircraft to move relative to

01:16 1 the ship, what else do you need?

01:16 2 A. It depends on how well you want it to do in
01:16 3 the job, I guess.

01:16 4 Q. What do you mean?

01:16 5 A. I mean, in our case, we were trying to land an
01:16 6 aircraft on a moving boat. So the boat goes up and
01:17 7 down with the waves and everything. So to be able to
01:17 8 do a precision landing on a boat, you know, you wanted
01:17 9 to know the -- the acceleration, you know, of the -- of
01:17 10 the -- of the boat.

01:17 11 You wanted to know the angle or attitude of
01:17 12 the boat so that you could match that with your
01:17 13 aircraft in order to -- to land it on -- on the boat.

01:17 14 If you only cared about relative position and
01:17 15 you didn't care about the attitude of the boat and you
01:17 16 weren't trying to land it on the boat, then you
01:17 17 wouldn't necessarily need that additional information.
01:17 18 You would only need to know the relative position to
01:17 19 command it relative to the boat.

01:17 20 The goal of that program was to be able to
01:17 21 land the aircraft on the boat. Well, you could fly it
01:17 22 relative to the boat but to be able to land it on the
01:17 23 boat. So you need more precise control, and you need
01:17 24 to know the information of the boat to be able to land
01:17 25 on the boat.

01:17 1 Q. Okay. Any other relative motion you were
01:18 2 working on between the ship and the aircraft other than
01:18 3 landing?

01:18 4 A. Yeah. The -- the modes allowed the pilot to
01:18 5 be able to fly the aircraft relative to the boat --

01:18 6 Q. Okay.

01:18 7 A. -- so he could control it in the coordinate
01:18 8 system of the boat. So rather than just flying the
01:18 9 aircraft, basically you're flying a point relative to a
01:18 10 point.

01:18 11 Q. Is it like following?

01:18 12 A. Yes. It would follow it or it would fly
01:18 13 relative to the point or the boat. So it may -- it may
01:18 14 follow the boat as is or it may fly with a closing
01:18 15 distance or a decreasing distance or it may display
01:18 16 away from the boat. Depends on what the pilot wanted
01:18 17 it to do.

01:18 18 Q. I see.

01:18 19 A. It just flies relative to the boat.

01:18 20 Q. Do you -- do you need any other data of the
01:18 21 ship other than position?

01:18 22 A. It depends on how you want to implement it.
01:19 23 And if you know -- you don't necessarily have to know
01:19 24 the position of the boat. You just need to know the
01:19 25 position of the aircraft relative to the boat. So like

01:19 1 I said before, if you know the position of the boat and
01:19 2 the position of the aircraft, you can calculate the
01:19 3 distance.

01:19 4 And if you know, you know -- and as those are
01:19 5 moving, right, you can determine the velocity of both
01:19 6 of those or you can have the -- if you know the
01:19 7 velocity of the boat and the velocity of the aircraft,
01:19 8 you know the relative velocities of both too. It
01:19 9 depends on what data's available to you.

01:19 10 Q. How does the aircraft command itself to move
01:19 11 relative to the ship at the same speed?

01:19 12 A. It maintains a constant velocity that matches
01:19 13 the motion of the -- of the reference point.

01:20 14 Q. And then for the closing speed or slowing down
01:20 15 the aircraft relative -- relative to the ship, how did
01:20 16 the flight control system work?

01:20 17 What kind of input does it need and what kind
01:20 18 of output does it generate?

01:20 19 A. It needs to know its velocity or distance
01:20 20 relative to the reference point or the touchdown point,
01:20 21 and then it commands its velocity --

01:20 22 Q. Okay.

01:20 23 A. -- relative to that.

01:20 24 Q. Commands the velocity -- commands the relative
01:20 25 velocity to a predetermined value or what -- how does

01:20 1 it command?

01:20 2 A. I believe in our implementation we had an
01:20 3 approach mode --

01:20 4 Q. Okay.

01:20 5 A. -- which would then preprogram, you know,
01:21 6 maneuver. It would command a velocity, which commanded
01:21 7 a closing distance. It would fly, closing the distance
01:21 8 toward the touchdown point, and then it would slow down
01:21 9 until it got to the -- when it got to the point and
01:21 10 then could hover.

01:21 11 Q. Sorry. What do you mean by "control the
01:21 12 closing distance"?

01:21 13 It's like a preprogrammed profile?

01:21 14 A. Yes.

01:21 15 Q. Okay. Do you control velocity, relative
01:21 16 velocity?

01:21 17 A. During that preprogram maneuver, the aircraft
01:21 18 controls its own relative velocity on that profile.

01:21 19 Q. How does the aircraft control its own
01:21 20 velocity?

01:21 21 A. It basically commands a groundspeed or an
01:21 22 airspeed. And then that -- you know, it knows that
01:21 23 velocity relative to that reference point, and it
01:21 24 closes the loop around that velocity.

01:22 25 But ultimately, I guess, it comes back to

01:22 1 commanding a pitch -- a pitch attitude or a pitch rate,
01:22 2 right? You command a pitch attitude or pitch rate of
01:22 3 the aircraft, which command a speed, and then you
01:22 4 have -- the loops are layered, right?

01:22 5 Q. Okay. So, for example, is it, like, for the
01:22 6 preprogrammed profile that you've just mentioned, it's
01:22 7 a relative velocity of, I don't know, 5. Adds a first
01:22 8 distance which is farther at P1 and then, I assume,
01:22 9 decreasing it to 4 when it's closer P2, is it?

01:22 10 A. Right.

01:22 11 Q. Is that a profile?

01:22 12 A. Yes. It would command a faster relative
01:22 13 velocity when it's further out so it will get closer to
01:22 14 the boat. As it starts getting closer based on
01:22 15 distance, it commands a slower velocity, also commands
01:22 16 altitude too. And then it comes in and slows down as
01:22 17 it gets to the point and then holds over the -- over
01:22 18 the touchdown point.

01:23 19 Q. So for this profile, that was preprogrammed
01:23 20 prior to flight?

01:23 21 A. It could be -- I can't remember. Yes. It was
01:23 22 preprogrammed prior to flight. I think it maybe was
01:23 23 also able to be modified by the ground control station
01:23 24 operator during flight.

01:23 25 Q. Okay. So then for the flight control system

01:23 1 on board the aircraft, in order to follow this profile
01:23 2 to control the aircraft, what ship data and aircraft
01:23 3 data does it need?

01:23 4 A. You could do it with different sets of data.
01:23 5 I believe the data that we used in our implementation
01:23 6 was the -- was the position of the aircraft relative to
01:23 7 the touchdown point.

01:23 8 Q. So the input is the relative position between
01:24 9 the aircraft and the --

01:24 10 A. The relative position and relative velocity.
01:24 11 I think we receive both sets of data from the -- from
01:24 12 the UCARS system.

01:24 13 Q. Does it --

01:24 14 A. I mean, you can implement it using different
01:24 15 sets of data.

01:24 16 Q. What do you mean? What kind of other --

01:24 17 A. Well, like I said previously, if you know
01:24 18 position data of the reference point, position data of
01:24 19 the aircraft, right, you can calculate the relative
01:24 20 distance. You can calculate -- if you know those over
01:24 21 time, you can calculate the relative velocities; or if
01:24 22 you have a sensor that provides you the distance and
01:24 23 the velocity, then you don't need to calculate those.

01:24 24 So -- but the control loops take that
01:24 25 information into account or uses those -- that

01:25 1 information as inputs into the control loops. How you
01:25 2 get that information depends on what your sources of
01:25 3 data are.

01:25 4 Q. Is there any difference between getting
01:25 5 velocity data and getting position data over time to
01:25 6 determine the velocity?

01:25 7 A. It -- most likely accuracy, depending on the
01:25 8 update rates of -- of each. It depends on what your
01:25 9 update rates of -- of your -- your relative position is
01:25 10 or your relative velocity is or the update rates of
01:25 11 your position information that you're using to
01:25 12 calculate those distances and velocities.

01:25 13 So if the updates are -- update rates are
01:25 14 slow, then you're going to have, you know, large
01:25 15 increments that you're going to be calculating that --
01:26 16 and it could be changing, you know, speeding up/slowing
01:26 17 down in between your data points.

01:26 18 Q. Does this sound critical especially if you're
01:26 19 maneuvering the aircraft to approach the ship?

01:26 20 A. The criticality would depend upon what you're
01:26 21 trying to do.

01:26 22 Q. Could you give an example?

01:26 23 A. For trying to do a precision landing on a
01:26 24 moving boat -- on a boat that is moving with, you know,
01:26 25 the waves and moving up and down, then you need high

01:26 1 frequency information with good resolution.

01:26 2 When you're not trying to land on the boat and
01:26 3 you're just trying to fly relative to the boat, it
01:26 4 doesn't really matter as much. You don't need to
01:26 5 know -- you don't need to know that the boat's going up
01:26 6 and down and moving side to side, you know. You don't
01:26 7 have to follow it exactly. It's when you're trying to
01:27 8 land that you need to match the exact motion of the
01:27 9 boat.

01:27 10 Q. And so in your case, why did you choose to
01:27 11 also get the velocity data from UCARS?

01:27 12 A. That was the data that was readily available.

01:27 13 Q. Okay. So the UCAR already provide certain
01:27 14 sensor to measure the velocity of the ship?

01:27 15 A. Yeah. The UCAR was an existing system. It
01:27 16 was an existing government-furnished equipment,
01:27 17 existing system they use on boats. And so that data
01:27 18 was available from that system.

01:27 19 Q. Did you try any other way to control the
01:27 20 aircraft relative to the boat, for example, based on
01:27 21 position of the boat?

01:27 22 A. I think we may have done that in simulation,
01:27 23 but I don't -- I think we -- like I said, we used the
01:27 24 data that was available to us. So how you get the data
01:28 25 that you use for inputting into the control loops is,

01:28 1 you know -- that's independent how you get the data, so
01:28 2 whatever data you have available to you.

01:28 3 I believe in simulation we calculated the
01:28 4 velocities based on positions, but I think when using
01:28 5 the UCAR system, we used the velocity of the boat that
01:28 6 was given to you over the data link.

01:28 7 Q. Okay. Could you have controlled the aircraft
01:28 8 relative to the boat based on the position of the boat?

01:28 9 A. Yes. If you know the position of the boat and
01:28 10 you know the position of the aircraft, you know the
01:28 11 relative distance. And if you are continually getting
01:29 12 that data, then you can calculate the velocity of -- of
01:29 13 the boat and the velocity of your aircraft.

01:29 14 And so then, therefore, you can, you know, you
01:29 15 know the relative velocity and you can command the
01:29 16 velocity relative to that relative velocity reference.

01:29 17 Q. So for -- sorry to interrupt.

01:29 18 A. So you can command your relative velocity in
01:29 19 relation to that relative velocity reference point.

01:29 20 (Video ends.)

01:29 21 MR. HIGH: DJI calls by deposition

01:29 22 Mr. Bryan Honza.

01:29 23 (Video deposition of Bryan Honza played as follows.)

01:29 24 Q. Good morning. Could you please state your
01:29 25 name for the record?

01:29 1 A. Bryan Paul Honza.

01:29 2 Q. Do you understand you're under oath today?

01:29 3 A. Yes.

01:29 4 Q. And do you understand that being under oath
01:29 5 means you have to testify truthfully and honestly just
01:29 6 as if you were testifying in court?

01:29 7 A. Yes.

01:29 8 Q. And is there any reason why you cannot testify
01:30 9 honestly, accurately, truthfully today?

01:30 10 A. No.

01:30 11 Q. Were you an inventor of '909 patent?

01:30 12 A. Yes.

01:30 13 Q. Which part of the Eagle Eye project laid the
01:30 14 foundation for the '909 patent?

01:30 15 A. On the Eagle Eye aircraft, we developed a
01:30 16 relative inertial velocity mode.

01:30 17 Q. What is the relative inertial velocity mode?

01:30 18 A. On the aircraft, it was a mode of operation
01:30 19 that allowed our vehicle to follow another vehicle
01:30 20 using a relative velocity command.

01:31 21 Q. Could you maybe explain a little bit how this
01:31 22 relative inertial velocity mode worked on the aircraft?

01:31 23 A. Are you asking on the Eagle Eye --

24 Q. Yes.

01:31 25 A. -- aircraft?

01:31 1 Q. Yes. Yes.

01:31 2 A. In general, we can provide a command that's a
01:31 3 relative command between the two vehicles.

01:31 4 Q. What kind of command?

01:31 5 A. Velocity commands.

01:31 6 Q. So I think before our lunch break you
01:31 7 mentioned that the Eagle Eye aircraft was designed to
01:31 8 work with a reference vehicle.

01:31 9 Could you elaborate more about that point?

01:31 10 A. There was a component of the design that would
01:32 11 allow you to control the vehicle relative to another
01:32 12 vehicle.

01:32 13 Q. Okay. What was the component of the design?

01:33 14 A. The Eagle Eye had a relative velocity mode.

01:33 15 Q. What's the relationship between the UCARS and
01:33 16 the reference vehicle?

01:33 17 A. The UCARS that we used and interfaced to at
01:33 18 the ground control station is a system that would be
01:33 19 installed shipboard.

01:33 20 Q. Then back to the ground control station that's
01:33 21 in communication with the UCARS, what was the function
01:33 22 of the ground control station in terms of the Eagle
01:33 23 Eye -- Eagle Eye aircraft working relative to the
01:34 24 reference vehicle?

01:34 25 A. So the ground control station communicated

01:34 1 with the shipboard UCARS system and transmitted
01:35 2 relevant information to the air vehicle.

01:35 3 Q. What relevant information did it transmit to
01:35 4 the air vehicle?

01:35 5 A. I can only recall a few, and -- but it's
01:35 6 not -- but not a complete list of information.

01:35 7 Q. That's fine.

01:35 8 A. So I believe we sent, like I mentioned
01:35 9 earlier, ship heading, ship track, ship position. I
01:35 10 remember those specifically, but it's been quite a
01:35 11 while.

01:35 12 Q. Were you able to issue any specific value of
01:35 13 the relative velocity to the aircraft from the control
01:35 14 station?

01:35 15 A. The control station allowed for sending a
01:36 16 relative velocity command to the aircraft.

01:36 17 Q. So back to what you just said about the ground
01:36 18 control station allowing for sending a relative
01:36 19 velocity command, was that command issued to the
01:36 20 control system on board the aircraft?

01:36 21 A. I believe so.

01:36 22 (Video ends.)

01:36 23 MR. HIGH: DJI calls by deposition
01:36 24 Mr. Robert Pascal.

01:29 25 (Video deposition of Robert Pascal played as follows.)

01:29 1 Q. Would you please state your name and address
01:37 2 for the record.

01:37 3 A. Yeah. My name is Robert Pascal. I live at
01:37 4 400 North Ridge Drive, Southlake, Texas.

01:37 5 Q. Do you understand that your answers will be
01:37 6 under oath today?

01:37 7 A. Yes.

01:37 8 Q. Okay. And is there any reason that you cannot
01:37 9 provide true and -- full and truthful testimony today?

01:37 10 A. No.

01:37 11 Q. Okay. You became the intellectual property
01:37 12 director in 2005 of Textron Innovations, Inc., correct?

01:37 13 A. Correct.

01:37 14 Q. Okay. Are you still in that role today?

01:37 15 A. Yes. I am.

01:37 16 Q. Did Textron Innovations, Inc. conduct any
01:37 17 valuation of the five asserted patents in this
01:37 18 litigation?

01:37 19 A. Not to my knowledge.

01:37 20 Q. Did Textron Innovations, Inc. hire any third
01:37 21 party to conduct a valuation of the five asserted
01:37 22 patents in this litigation?

01:37 23 A. Not to my knowledge.

01:37 24 Q. But Textron Innovations, Inc. has tried to
01:37 25 sell patents -- its patents before, correct?

01:37 1 A. There have been -- there's been offers to
01:37 2 sell.

01:37 3 Q. Okay. And have companies expressed interest
01:37 4 in those patents that Textron Innovations, Inc. has
01:37 5 offered to sell?

01:37 6 A. Not to my knowledge.

01:38 7 Q. Would you consider the APT to be a drone?

01:38 8 A. I would consider the APT to be a UAV or a
01:38 9 drone, yes, either one.

01:38 10 Q. Okay. Is APT something that you believe will
01:38 11 compete with DJI's drone products?

01:38 12 A. I don't know what all products DJI is involved
01:38 13 in.

01:38 14 Q. You said you were generally familiar with
01:38 15 DJI's products from before the lawsuit, correct?

01:38 16 A. I'm familiar with the products that are sold
01:38 17 in their -- in retail stores, commercial to retail
01:38 18 stores, yes.

01:38 19 Q. Would you consider the anticipated APT product
01:38 20 to compete with DJI's products that are sold in
01:38 21 commercial retail stores?

01:38 22 A. No.

01:38 23 Q. And why do you think that the APT will not
01:38 24 compete with the DJI products that are sold in retail
01:38 25 stores?

01:38 1 A. I'm not aware of any DJI products sold in
01:38 2 retail stores that are intended to be for autonomous
01:39 3 package delivery.

01:39 4 Q. Do you consider any other Textron products to
01:39 5 compete with DJI products that are sold in retail
01:39 6 stores?

01:39 7 A. I don't know. No, I don't believe so.

01:39 8 Q. And you're not familiar with any other DJI
01:39 9 products?

01:39 10 A. No.

01:39 11 Q. Okay. It's only an offer for sale for the
01:39 12 '909 patent, correct?

01:39 13 A. Yes.

01:39 14 Q. Okay. And did Textron ever receive a response
01:39 15 from DJI about this offer to sell the '909 patent?

01:39 16 A. No.

01:39 17 Q. Okay. So DJI never expressed any interest in
01:39 18 the '909 patent in response to this offer to sell?

01:39 19 A. Not any interest to Bell or to Textron
01:39 20 Innovations.

01:39 21 Q. Has anyone expressed interest in purchasing
01:39 22 the '909 patent from Textron and Bell?

01:39 23 A. Not that I'm aware of.

01:40 24 (Video ends.)

01:40 25 MR. SCHLESINGER: DJI calls Dr. Illah

01:40 1 Nourbakhsh.

01:40 2 (The witness was sworn.)

01:40 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION

01:40 4 BY MR. SCHLESINGER:

01:40 5 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Nourbakhsh.

01:41 6 A. Good afternoon.

01:41 7 Q. Would you please state your name for the
01:41 8 record?

01:41 9 A. Sure. I'm Illah Nourbakhsh.

01:41 10 Q. And would you please introduce yourself to the
01:41 11 jury?

01:41 12 A. Sure. Pleased to meet y'all. My name is
01:41 13 Illah Nourbakhsh, and I live in Pittsburgh,
01:41 14 Pennsylvania.

01:41 15 Q. And will you please tell the jury why you're
01:41 16 here today?

01:41 17 A. Sure. I am here today because I was asked by
01:41 18 counsel to prepare some opinions for this proceedings
01:41 19 today.

01:41 20 Q. And did you prepare any slides to help with
01:41 21 your presentation?

01:41 22 A. I did.

01:41 23 MR. SCHLESINGER: Could we please put up
01:41 24 Dr. Nourbakhsh's slides?

01:41 25 Thank you.

01:41 1 BY MR. SCHLESINGER:

01:41 2 Q. Do you have any degrees?

01:41 3 A. I do have degrees.

01:41 4 Q. And where are your degrees from?

01:41 5 A. Well, I grew up in Platte City, Missouri,
01:41 6 which is a tiny farm town near Kansas City, Missouri.
01:41 7 And the culture shock is that I moved to the West
01:41 8 Coast, San Francisco Bay area.

01:41 9 And I got all my degrees after high school
01:41 10 from Stanford University. So I got a bachelor's there,
01:42 11 and I wouldn't leave. So I got a master's there, and I
01:42 12 still wouldn't leave. So I got my doctorate there.

01:42 13 Q. And what type of projects did you do at
01:42 14 Stanford?

01:42 15 A. I took on this idea of doing a lot of
01:42 16 project-based work because I was a remote-control
01:42 17 airplane nut growing up. So I liked to build these
01:42 18 airplanes and fly them. And of course you crash them a
01:42 19 lot when you fly them. So you end up building them
01:42 20 again and again.

01:42 21 So when I got to Stanford, first thing I did
01:42 22 is we built an electric race car and raced it,
01:42 23 actually, all the way across Texas. We drove it to
01:42 24 Florida. And then we drove it from Florida up to
01:42 25 Michigan, to Detroit, to the finish line at General

01:42 1 Motors.

01:42 2 And then later on I got into more academic
01:42 3 things. I worked on the genome project, which was that
01:42 4 project in the -- I guess I'm showing my age. This was
01:42 5 in the late 1990s when we were sequencing the human
01:42 6 body and figuring out how diseases are related to our
01:42 7 DNA.

01:42 8 And then after that project, I got involved in
01:42 9 robotics. I built a whole lot of robots that navigated
01:43 10 the campus. And I taught classes, even though I was a
01:43 11 student, to the other students at Stanford on how to
01:43 12 program robots and how to design robots.

01:43 13 Q. And what type of work did you -- I'm sorry.
01:43 14 What experience do you have after your
01:43 15 doctorate?

01:43 16 A. If you go to the next slide, I kind of go
01:43 17 through my work experience. You all heard
01:43 18 Mr. Christensen talking two days ago about his desire
01:43 19 to be an astronaut, and I shared that dream with him.

01:43 20 So I wanted to be an astronaut, but I had
01:43 21 these glasses so I knew I'd never qualify, because that
01:43 22 was a requirement back then.

01:43 23 And so I thought the next best thing was to
01:43 24 work on -- well, on spacecraft that are going to space
01:43 25 and exploring the world for us. So when I was

01:43 1 finishing my Ph.D., I went to the Jet Propulsion labs
01:43 2 over in Pasadena, California. I worked on the
01:43 3 spacecraft there called Cassini which orbited Saturn
01:43 4 and looked at Saturn's rings. And that was quite the
01:43 5 sight.

01:43 6 And after that I got a professorship at
01:44 7 Carnegie Mellon University, which is in Pittsburgh
01:44 8 where I am now. So I started my professorship, and
01:44 9 that means you basically -- you're teaching and you're
01:44 10 doing research, and you're going back and forth between
01:44 11 the two.

01:44 12 And then I've got NASA on here because you all
01:44 13 recall Spirit and Opportunity were the first rovers we
01:44 14 landed on Mars in quite a number of years, right around
01:44 15 2003. So I took a leave of absence at that point from
01:44 16 Carnegie Mellon, and I was honored to join the Civil
01:44 17 Service.

01:44 18 And as a civil servant, then I was lead of
01:44 19 robotics for NASA Ames. So I managed a group there
01:44 20 that was helping with engine systems for those robots,
01:44 21 and stayed there awhile and then went back and resumed
01:44 22 my professorship at Carnegie Mellon, and that's where
01:44 23 I've been ever since. And I will stay there until I
01:44 24 retire.

01:44 25 Q. And can you tell me a little bit more about

01:44 1 your experience at Carnegie Mellon and what you do?

01:44 2 A. Sure. I have a slide that talks about that a
01:44 3 little bit. Thank you.

01:44 4 So at Carnegie Mellon I have -- every
01:44 5 professor has a lab. That's not unusual. I have about
01:45 6 40 people on and off that work in the lab. They're
01:45 7 students, staff, other faculty. And it's called the
01:45 8 CREATE Lab. We also like acronyms in academia. So
01:45 9 CREATE stands for something. It means Community
01:45 10 Robotics, Education and Technology Empowerment.

01:45 11 A lot of the work we do is on thinking about
01:45 12 communities of people around the world and how robot
01:45 13 technologies can help them achieve a better life. So
01:45 14 we do a lot around air pollution, water pollution, like
01:45 15 lead in the water.

01:45 16 We do a lot of educational robots. We have
01:45 17 one that helps kindergartners and preschool kids
01:45 18 self-calm, because there's a problem with self-calming
01:45 19 in our society. So we have robots that help them smell
01:45 20 the robotic flower and lights up and helps them do
01:45 21 breathing regulation, for example.

01:45 22 And during the hurricane, Hurricane Katrina
01:45 23 that hit New Orleans, we worked with NASA using a Lear
01:45 24 jet to actually map everywhere that they can drop
01:45 25 supplies because all the normal supply drop points were

01:45 1 flooded, and it was quite a disaster.

01:45 2 And then just recently when the train derailed
01:45 3 in Ohio, we were measuring toxicities in the soil and
01:46 4 the air and helping visualize that so people can
01:46 5 understand is it safe to stay in my house or should I
01:46 6 get out of home and find a cousin somewhere a couple
01:46 7 hundred miles away?

01:46 8 So everything we do is with robotics, on
01:46 9 robotics, but takes robotics and tries to apply it to
01:46 10 some kind of societal good.

01:46 11 Q. And what type of classes do you teach at
01:46 12 Carnegie Mellon?

01:46 13 A. I've been there more than 27 years now so
01:46 14 every kind of class you can imagine involving robotics.
01:46 15 I've taught systems engineering, introduction to
01:46 16 artificial intelligence, computer science, programming,
01:46 17 robot programming, ethics in robotics. I think that
01:46 18 one I share with the other expert. He also taught an
01:46 19 ethics class, and numerous other classes in those
01:46 20 veins.

01:46 21 Q. Have you authored anything?

01:46 22 A. I have. I have some authoring on the next
01:46 23 page. Everybody you've heard from has patents. I do
01:46 24 too. I have 21 patents. So I have some experience
01:46 25 with patenting.

01:46 1 Professors have to publish. They call it
01:47 2 publish or perish. If you don't publish, they fire
01:47 3 you. So we all publish. And so I have more than a
01:47 4 couple of hundred publications in various journals and
01:47 5 magazines and articles.

01:47 6 And then I have five books that are shown
01:47 7 here. Some of them are boring and dry textbooks for
01:47 8 class, and then some of them are just for popular
01:47 9 readership. "Robot Futures" is about how is robotics
01:47 10 changing society right now, like AI and ChatGPT-4 and
01:47 11 all that.

01:47 12 "Parenting For Technology Futures" is about
01:47 13 how do we as parents think about raising children in a
01:47 14 world where we're surrounded by AI and technology.
01:47 15 What do we do to prepare them for that future world
01:47 16 when we don't even know what the technologies we're
01:47 17 facing are going to be like.

01:47 18 And then I also have seven or eight other
01:47 19 books that I'm a chapter author in, like a book on Star
01:47 20 Wars that I wrote the chapter on about landing on the
01:47 21 Moon.

01:47 22 Q. And what experience do you have related to
01:47 23 flight?

01:47 24 A. I will slow down.

01:47 25 In terms of flight, I was always, as I said,

01:48 1 excited about flying. And so when I started my career
01:48 2 at Stanford, I also worked on the side and made enough
01:48 3 money to take flying lessons.

01:48 4 So I have a number of flying licenses. I'm a
01:48 5 single-engine land pilot, which means I can fly
01:48 6 high-performance aircraft that have a single propeller
01:48 7 on the front.

01:48 8 I also got my instrument rating, and that
01:48 9 allows me to fly in clouds. So I know how that whole
01:48 10 kind of instrument side of the flying system works.
01:48 11 That's how commercial airliners fly is they use
01:48 12 instrument ratings.

01:48 13 And then I also got my rotor craft helicopter
01:48 14 license, and that license allows me to fly any
01:48 15 helicopter. And I've flown -- in this picture,
01:48 16 actually, on the left, I'm showing this one here.
01:48 17 That's the Cessna 182 Skylane from 1966. I like old
01:48 18 things. That's my airplane.

01:48 19 So I worked off and paid off the debt on that,
01:48 20 and I own that now. And, in fact, two weeks ago, I
01:48 21 took my kids to New Orleans from Pittsburgh so they
01:49 22 could see the south using that.

01:49 23 The one on the right here is called an Agosta.
01:49 24 It's an Italian helicopter that's really fancy. It has
01:49 25 all kinds of autopilots and hovering and everything,

01:49 1 and relatively old but it has all those features.

01:49 2 That's one of many helicopters I've flown.

01:49 3 I will never be able to afford to buy one
01:49 4 because they're impossibly expensive, whereas the
01:49 5 Cessna costs about as much as a used car.

01:49 6 And then at the bottom I got a drone because I
01:49 7 also got drone certification from the FAA. So I'm
01:49 8 allowed to fly drones over populated areas to do
01:49 9 testing and such at the university.

01:49 10 Q. Have you ever been qualified as an expert in a
01:49 11 patent case -- or patent cases related to technologies
01:49 12 like the ones we're here to talk about today?

01:49 13 A. Yes. I've worked on cases that involve things
01:49 14 like control systems and balance.

01:49 15 MR. SCHLESINGER: Your Honor, DJI tenders
01:49 16 Dr. Nourbakhsh as an expert in robotics and control
01:49 17 systems, including the subject matter of the asserted
01:49 18 patents.

01:49 19 MR. RICH: No objection.

01:49 20 THE COURT: He'll be accepted.

01:49 21 MR. SCHLESINGER: Thank you.

01:49 22 BY MR. SCHLESINGER:

01:50 23 Q. Dr. Nourbakhsh, what were you asked to do in
01:50 24 this case?

01:50 25 A. I was asked -- and I have a slide to summarize

01:50 1 this for you. I was asked to render three kinds of
01:50 2 opinions.

01:50 3 One is: As you know, there's some specific
01:50 4 claims that Textron is asserting that DJI is infringing
01:50 5 on. You've been hearing all about those claims. So
01:50 6 for those claims, I analyzed whether or not DJI is
01:50 7 infringing on those or not.

01:50 8 The second set of things I did is: For those
01:50 9 same claims, the ones that Textron is asserting against
01:50 10 DJI, I looked at those claims to try and understand
01:50 11 whether I believed they're valid or whether they're
01:50 12 invalid.

01:50 13 And then third and last, I was asked to
01:50 14 analyze the technical value of those claims toward
01:50 15 DJI's products and also possible alternative ways that
01:50 16 DJI could have their drones function.

01:50 17 Q. And after you analyzed those three things, did
01:51 18 you form any opinions?

01:51 19 A. I did.

01:51 20 Q. What is your opinion with respect to the first
01:51 21 question?

01:51 22 A. My opinion for the first question is that
01:51 23 DJI's drones do not infringe on the claims that Textron
01:51 24 is asserting.

01:51 25 Q. And what opinion did you reach with respect to

01:51 1 the second question?

01:51 2 A. My opinion on the second question was -- after
01:51 3 looking at those same claims is that they're invalid.

01:51 4 Q. And what about the third question?

01:51 5 A. On the third question, I both evaluated and
01:51 6 will describe to you some alternative designs. And I
01:51 7 also reached the conclusion that there's no technical
01:51 8 value that those patents provide to DJI's drones.

01:51 9 Q. And for the first question, you indicated that
01:51 10 your opinion is that the DJI drones do not infringe.

01:51 11 Is that with respect to both literal
01:51 12 infringement, doctrine of equivalents and indirect
01:51 13 infringement?

01:51 14 A. That's correct.

01:51 15 Q. Let's start with the '909 patent and the first
01:52 16 question.

01:52 17 Have you -- first off, have you been in the
01:52 18 courtroom the entire trial?

01:52 19 A. I have been here every minute.

01:52 20 Q. And can you briefly explain what the '909
01:52 21 patent is about?

01:52 22 A. Sure. I have a slide that helps a little bit
01:52 23 with that. You were just hearing some great testimony
01:52 24 from some of the inventors and others about the '909
01:52 25 patent. And they kept using that phrase "relative

01:52 1 inertial velocity." That's what it's all about.

01:52 2 If you have a boat that's moving, it's bobbing
01:52 3 up and down in the ocean. And if you have a drone
01:52 4 that -- I'm sorry -- an aircraft that's flying in to
01:52 5 land on that boat, the '909 patent, just like they
01:52 6 talked about, is all about the relative speeds between
01:52 7 the two.

01:52 8 It's moving at 30 miles an hour, and I'm going
01:52 9 at 35 miles an hour. So it's reasoning about those
01:52 10 five miles an hour in between. How fast am I getting
01:52 11 closer to the ship?

01:53 12 And so the patent's really about how do we
01:53 13 think about controlling something and landing on
01:53 14 something that's moving so that we can do that safely
01:53 15 so that no last-minute motions cause us to crash.

01:53 16 Q. Does the '909 patent claim to have invented
01:53 17 following another object?

01:53 18 A. Following other objects has been around for
01:53 19 many, many years in all kinds of places. The '909
01:53 20 patent is about the idea of being able to close that
01:53 21 distance, that relative velocity, that relative speed
01:53 22 and, therefore, being able to do things like land on
01:53 23 something.

01:53 24 Q. And does the '909 patent itself describe prior
01:53 25 inventions or prior ways of doing following?

01:53 1 A. It does. If you go to the next slide, this is
01:53 2 a little section near the very beginning, in the middle
01:53 3 of the first column of the '909 patent.

01:53 4 It's really common for a patent to start by
01:53 5 telling you what's important, what's the problem we're
01:53 6 trying to solve? And then next, what have people done
01:53 7 before? What has already been invented and is already
01:54 8 known about?

01:54 9 And this is one of the sections where this
01:54 10 patent does that. And it's got some words about using
01:54 11 sensors and radar and vision, but one of the most
01:54 12 important things patents do is they actually reference
01:54 13 other work. They say, here's somebody who already did
01:54 14 something that's related to this, but it's already been
01:54 15 done in the past.

01:54 16 And I want to show you two examples of that --
01:54 17 the '909 patent calls out here. So if you go to the
01:54 18 next slide, please.

01:54 19 One of the patents that the '909 patent says,
01:54 20 here's something somebody has done before, and what
01:54 21 they show is this picture. Because what they're making
01:54 22 the point of here is, if you're following another car,
01:54 23 the common way that was done before is you have a radar
01:54 24 or sonar on the front of your bumper and you're
01:54 25 measuring the distance to the thing you're following.

01:54 1 So the follower is in charge of gathering all
01:54 2 the data it needs to figure out how to follow whatever
01:54 3 it's following.

01:54 4 And so this idea that the aircraft, so to
01:55 5 speak, or the back car can collect what it needs to and
01:55 6 do the right thing and follow something, that was what
01:55 7 the '909 patent is describing here. That's already
01:55 8 done.

01:55 9 The next example that the '909 patent gives is
01:55 10 also for another patent that's earlier, and it's an
01:55 11 important example actually. You know, our armed forces
01:55 12 depend greatly on this incredible range that our
01:55 13 fighter pilot jets have because of in-flight refueling.
01:55 14 They can't hold that much fuel, but you can have
01:55 15 something like a KC-135 supertanker, big airplane, and
01:55 16 it has a nozzle coming out of the back.

01:55 17 And it sounds like science fiction, but the
01:55 18 jet can fly and then the 135 actually comes down and
01:55 19 mates the end of the fuel nozzle to the top of the jet
01:55 20 while they're both flying and can fill up its fuel tank
01:55 21 with jet fuel. It's a remarkable process.

01:55 22 This patent describes the fact that you can
01:55 23 have a camera on that nozzle so that the 135 is
01:55 24 actually measuring the position and movement of that
01:56 25 jet with that camera, with that vision system, to go in

01:56 1 and mate and actually refuel it.

01:56 2 And again, that's an example of something that
01:56 3 '909 patent is itself saying, we're not inventing this.
01:56 4 This was already done and here is an example.

01:56 5 Q. And so what's -- what is that shown on the
01:56 6 picture on the left?

01:56 7 A. Yes. In the picture here, this is the
01:56 8 refueling nozzle. It comes out of the back of a
01:56 9 KC-135. This is the F-16. I'm getting used to the
01:56 10 screen now. And then there's a camera right here. And
01:56 11 this picture here, that picture is the view out the
01:56 12 back of that camera seeing the airplane.

01:56 13 And I know you're seeing an airplane with four
01:56 14 jet engines. The reason is they did practice this on
01:56 15 other KC-135s. So it's two big airplanes, one
01:56 16 refueling the other.

01:56 17 Q. And the quote that's up there, where is that
01:56 18 from?

01:56 19 A. In the '909 patent, it talks about describing
01:56 20 this patent and saying this patent is talking about
01:56 21 using a camera to determine relative positions and
01:57 22 motion.

01:57 23 Q. And what does the '909 patent describe as its
01:57 24 invention over these prior methods?

01:57 25 A. Let's go to the next slide so we can dig into

01:57 1 what the '909 patent says is its contribution.

01:57 2 So of course, it wants to control the position
01:57 3 and velocity, and the thing the '909 patent keeps
01:57 4 saying is this idea of relative. You've heard that
01:57 5 this morning and yesterday, always talking in terms of
01:57 6 relative.

01:57 7 And one very important part that it points out
01:57 8 that it says is new is this idea that the controlled
01:57 9 vehicle -- controlled vehicle is the aircraft, right?
01:57 10 It's the thing that's following. It receives data
01:57 11 communicating the position and movement of the
01:57 12 reference vehicle.

01:57 13 The reference vehicle is this; it's the boat.
01:57 14 And what it's saying is novel is -- you know, those two
01:57 15 cars, the back car is measuring the distance to the
01:57 16 front car. Now, the patent is saying, we want a system
01:58 17 where, if I'm landing on the boat, I'm receiving
01:58 18 information about where the boat is and how it's moving
01:58 19 in the waves, for example.

01:58 20 That was what the '909 patent says is new.

01:58 21 Q. It may just be my screen, but I might suggest
01:58 22 a different color if you can. The yellow's a little
01:58 23 hard to see.

01:58 24 A. Let's try. I didn't know I could choose.

01:58 25 Is that better?

01:58 1 Q. Yes.

01:58 2 A. Okay.

01:58 3 Q. Okay. And does the '909 patent have any
01:58 4 figures that illustrate this?

01:58 5 A. Yes. Let's go ahead and go to the next slide.
01:58 6 I should have said all that on this slide perhaps.

01:58 7 But what we're doing here is the '909 patent
01:58 8 is saying, if we're going to land on this landing pad
01:58 9 on the boat, we really want to be able to get the best
01:58 10 information we can about the boat, where it is and how
01:58 11 it's moving and bucking in the waves so that as this
01:58 12 airplane gets closer and closer, it can do the right
01:58 13 thing.

01:58 14 And as you heard from Mr. Epp's testimony
01:58 15 today, it's not even one relative -- relative velocity
01:59 16 command because as you come in, you're going to be
01:59 17 going fast compared to the boat and then slower and
01:59 18 slower and slower, till you're moving really gradually
01:59 19 at the end so you can make -- touch down at a very slow
01:59 20 relative speed.

01:59 21 Q. And how do you account for the waves or the --
01:59 22 of the ship?

01:59 23 A. Well, what's important about the waves is that
01:59 24 you need to be able to understand how the boat's moving
01:59 25 in real time so that if you're coming in for landing

01:59 1 and a big wave is making the boat surge upwards, you
01:59 2 better slow down how fast you're going down.

01:59 3 You know, we saw the Textron video of their
01:59 4 aircraft landing vertically. If you're landing on a
01:59 5 boat and the boat starts coming up, you better arrest
01:59 6 your downward motion, otherwise you're going to have a
01:59 7 crash. It's going to hit too hard.

01:59 8 Q. And does the '909 patent describe a system for
01:59 9 implementing this relative inertial velocity?

01:59 10 A. Yes. It does. Let's go to the next picture.

02:00 11 This looks like a complicated picture, but I
02:00 12 promise to talk you through it. It's a figure from the
02:00 13 '909 patent itself.

02:00 14 And it's got two sides to it. So we can kind
02:00 15 of talk about them one at a time. There's the aircraft
02:00 16 side, and there's the boat side.

02:00 17 And if we just start with the aircraft, kind
02:00 18 of go bottom up, there's a control system. That's the
02:00 19 system on the aircraft actually deciding how to fly.
02:00 20 So, for instance, go and land on the boat.

02:00 21 And of course, the control system does that by
02:00 22 manipulating all these controls. It can spin up and
02:00 23 down the propellers, and it can control all the wing
02:00 24 surfaces and change their shape so that the aircraft
02:00 25 can fly through the air faster, slower, higher, lower.

02:00 1 The other part on the left side of this figure
02:00 2 is that you'll notice the aircraft has two kinds of
02:00 3 information it's receiving. One, GPS, global
02:00 4 positioning system. That's the same thing your
02:00 5 telephones have that you use when you are looking at a
02:01 6 map to figure out where you are.

02:01 7 It also has these sets of boxes here called
02:01 8 inertial movement sensors. Inertial movement sensors
02:01 9 is a long word, but it's a pretty simple idea. They're
02:01 10 little chips that determine how fast the airplane is
02:01 11 accelerating this way, this way, this way, and how fast
02:01 12 it's spinning this way, this way and this way.

02:01 13 So those are six degrees, and those six
02:01 14 degrees define all the ways in which something's
02:01 15 accelerating, getting pitched and rolled, like, by the
02:01 16 waves.

02:01 17 So this one is positioned information, and
02:01 18 this one is movement information. And of course, the
02:01 19 control system is going to use that to figure out how
02:01 20 to move the aircraft. That's the left side.

02:01 21 Now, if I clear that, the right side is
02:01 22 showing you what's on the boat in their system. And
02:01 23 what's on the boat in their system is two things.
02:01 24 Remember, we said what they find special is that
02:02 25 they're sending information to the aircraft so it's

02:02 1 receiving information about the position and the
02:02 2 movement of the boat.

02:02 3 Those are called out here. You have a GPS
02:02 4 receiver module here. That's going to give you the
02:02 5 position of the boat. And then you have inertial
02:02 6 movement sensors here, and they're going to tell you
02:02 7 how the boat is pitching and rolling.

02:02 8 And if I was building this boat, I'd put one
02:02 9 up here and one down here in the back because then you
02:02 10 get really accurate information on how the boat is
02:02 11 moving in the waves.

02:02 12 You'll notice there's multiple boxes here.
02:02 13 One, two, three boxes. That's because usually there's
02:02 14 a whole lot of chips that do this for you to figure out
02:02 15 all these motions.

02:02 16 And then you'll notice both of these, this and
02:02 17 this, they're both going up through this link through
02:02 18 the data receiver right into the control system. So
02:02 19 the control system has information about both how the
02:02 20 boat's moving and the position of the boat.

02:02 21 Q. Now, is that how -- you just described this
02:02 22 figure. Thank you.

02:03 23 Is that consistent with how the '909 patent
02:03 24 describes this figure?

02:03 25 A. Yes.

02:03 1 Q. Now, if you have GPS information -- I believe
02:03 2 you were here earlier. As talked about, you could
02:03 3 figure out the movement data, why then have initial
02:03 4 (sic) movement sensors on the -- as well as GPS?

02:03 5 A. Yeah. We've heard a lot in this courtroom
02:03 6 about, you know, if I drove from Fort Worth to here and
02:03 7 I take two GPS readings, I can figure out that I was
02:03 8 speeding. And Mr. Harris is right. You can figure out
02:03 9 your average speed.

02:03 10 But average speed is not movement data.
02:03 11 Average speed is on average how fast were you going for
02:03 12 that whole hour. It's -- GPS is telling you where that
02:03 13 boat is, but if you want an accurate sense of what's
02:03 14 happening to the landing surface as it pitches and
02:03 15 rolls in the waves, you want to know instantly, right
02:03 16 now, how is that landing surface moving, not just where
02:03 17 has it been for the last hour or for the last ten
02:03 18 minutes or even for the last millisecond.

02:04 19 Now, in this courtroom we heard that, you
02:04 20 know, if you take multiple positions over time, you can
02:04 21 calculate speed, and that's correct. You can take many
02:04 22 positions, string them together and do some math, and
02:04 23 that's speed, but that's just giving you speed between
02:04 24 those points. It isn't telling you how you jittered in
02:04 25 between those readings. It isn't telling you if you

02:04 1 swayed. It isn't telling you if you pitched up.

02:04 2 Q. What about the case in waves? Can GPS tell
02:04 3 you if you go up and down?

02:04 4 A. No. It can't tell you if you're going up and
02:04 5 down rapidly at all.

02:04 6 Q. Now that we've talked about this background,
02:04 7 let's take a look at Claim 1.

02:04 8 Do you have an understanding of Claim 1?

02:04 9 A. Yes. I do.

02:04 10 Q. Let's start from the beginning.
02:04 11 What's Claim 1 about?

02:04 12 A. Well, we'll kind of talk about Claim 1 in
02:05 13 pieces, if that's all right, because it is heavy. It's
02:05 14 long.

02:05 15 And so is there a next slide we can go to?

02:05 16 The first part of Claim 1, called the
02:05 17 preamble, is the very first line. It just says: A
02:05 18 system for controlling flight of an aircraft.

02:05 19 So we know this is about something that's
02:05 20 controlling the aircraft and the way it flies. That's
02:05 21 clear.

02:05 22 The first big chunk here, the sensor system
02:05 23 part, the important part here is position and movement
02:05 24 of the aircraft. And you remember we saw that on that
02:05 25 diagram I showed you. That's the aircraft being able

02:05 1 to measure its own position and its own ability to
02:05 2 understand how it's moving. So it can think about
02:05 3 relative velocities to the boat, for example, if it's
02:05 4 landing on the boat.

02:05 5 If we go to the next element of Claim 1, this
02:05 6 is the element that's all about the information the
02:05 7 aircraft is getting about the boat or, as they say in
02:05 8 this -- in this claim, the reference vehicle.

02:06 9 So it says very specifically here that the
02:06 10 aircraft has a receiver. So we know it has to be able
02:06 11 to receive something, and it says what it's receiving
02:06 12 is transmitted data.

02:06 13 So that tells you right away it's receiving
02:06 14 something over the airwaves. It's like a game of Clue.
02:06 15 We're trying to get more and more clues on what it's
02:06 16 saying.

02:06 17 And then it's saying that that data here,
02:06 18 what's it doing? It's communicating position, and it's
02:06 19 communicating movement. So we need some kind of data
02:06 20 that's communicating position and some kind of data
02:06 21 that's communicating movement. And both of those are
02:06 22 about the reference vehicle.

02:06 23 So in other words, the boat's position and the
02:06 24 boat's movement are being seized by the airplane.
02:06 25 That's what this whole element here is doing for us.

02:06 1 Q. What about the next element?

02:06 2 A. Let's go ahead and go to the next slide.

02:06 3 The next element sounds complicated:

02:06 4 Commanded data for a selected velocity of the aircraft
02:07 5 relative to the reference vehicle.

02:07 6 Instead of that wordy way, I'm going to just
02:07 7 say "selected relative velocity." And you heard today
02:07 8 in some of the testimony why they're talking about
02:07 9 selected relative velocity. Because they were
02:07 10 explaining the whole idea that we care about -- he
02:07 11 said, I think, the rate of closure.

02:07 12 If you're going toward a boat to land, how
02:07 13 fast are you going? We want to be able to choose how
02:07 14 fast you're going. Do we want you to approach at ten
02:07 15 miles an hour relatively speaking or five miles an hour
02:07 16 relatively speaking?

02:07 17 And so this is basically identifying for us
02:07 18 from now on what commanded data means. It means this
02:07 19 selected relative velocity.

02:07 20 And then if we go to the next element. Thank
21 you.

02:07 22 This element kind of puts that all together.
02:07 23 The ingredients where -- that we have sensors, we're
02:07 24 getting this information from the boat, and then we
02:07 25 have this commanded thing. Now we're going to put it

02:07 1 all together to bake that cake, so to speak.

02:08 2 And so we have a control system. And what is
02:08 3 it doing? It's calculating a relative velocity. It's
02:08 4 going to figure out this relative velocity that we
02:08 5 should fly at.

02:08 6 But it has to use certain things. It has to
02:08 7 use sensed data. So it has to use the airplane's
02:08 8 understanding of its own position and its own movement.
02:08 9 Has to use that to fulfill this claim.

02:08 10 And it has to use the reference data here. So
02:08 11 it has to use information about the boat's position and
02:08 12 the boat's movement.

02:08 13 And then it's got a control, like control
02:08 14 devices. So it has to move the parts on the airplane.
02:08 15 And then this is how. How's it doing all this? It's
02:08 16 going to maintain a selected relative velocity, and
02:08 17 that selected relative velocity is coming from the
02:08 18 commanded data.

02:08 19 So it's putting everything together we saw
02:08 20 before into the act of actually controlling the
02:08 21 airplane but using all that information.

02:09 22 Q. And what about the last element?

02:09 23 A. The last element looks odd at first because it
02:09 24 says that that commanded data is preprogrammed prior to
02:09 25 flight. So before the airplane has taken off, somebody

02:09 1 has told the airplane what the relative velocities are
02:09 2 that they want it to have.

02:09 3 That sounds odd. Why would you do that before
02:09 4 flight? But as you heard from Mr. Epp, if you're
02:09 5 thinking about flight paths to land on the boat, it's
02:09 6 not about where the boat actually is in the ocean
02:09 7 because it's relative. It's about how quickly you want
02:09 8 to approach the boat and slow down for landing.

02:09 9 So what this claim is saying is that that
02:09 10 commanded data, that relative velocity command, that
02:09 11 needs to be in the aircraft, programmed in before
02:09 12 takeoff.

02:09 13 Q. And could this just be fixed in source code?

02:09 14 A. Well, that was something we heard yesterday,
02:09 15 the idea that there's commanded data that's prior to
02:10 16 flight because the programmers who made a DJI drone,
02:10 17 they programmed the drone.

02:10 18 But that doesn't work. Because you have to
02:10 19 have the ability for the engineers, the sailors on the
02:10 20 boat in this claim, to be able to command the system
02:10 21 and decide how they want to approach it.

02:10 22 The programmers who design the system couldn't
02:10 23 know ahead of time what are all the boats we're going
02:10 24 to be landing on and how do we want to approach those
02:10 25 all ahead of time.

02:10 1 Q. And can you --

02:10 2 MR. RICH: Your Honor, may we approach,
02:10 3 please?

02:10 4 THE COURT: Sure.

02:10 5 (Bench conference.)

02:10 6 MR. RICH: Your Honor, this is the claim
02:10 7 construction. This is the claim construction order on
02:10 8 selected velocity, and he just said it was chosen by
02:10 9 the -- he's improperly construing the claim against the
02:10 10 Court's claim construction. He just gave the
02:10 11 construction that Your Honor rejected. He said it was
02:11 12 chosen by the operators. That's exactly what he said.

02:11 13 MR. SCHLESINGER: Subject to a Daubert
02:11 14 that Judge Gilliland denied and allowed him to explain
02:11 15 the plain and ordinary meaning of the claim. They
02:11 16 raised the same argument for the Daubert. He denied
02:11 17 it.

02:11 18 MR. RICH: DJI proposed "chosen by the
02:11 19 operator of the aircraft." The Court rejected that.
02:11 20 He just said that the guys on the boat are going to
02:11 21 choose the velocity.

02:11 22 MR. SCHLESINGER: This is the same issue
02:11 23 Judge Gilliland already addressed, Your Honor.

02:11 24 THE COURT: Yeah, but I -- if I rejected
02:11 25 the requirement that plain and ordinary meaning be

02:11 1 this, if I rejected this, then he shouldn't be saying
02:11 2 that's the plain and ordinary meaning of it.

02:11 3 I don't know what else to say. I mean,
02:11 4 I -- I don't specifically remember, but I can tell you,
02:11 5 generally speaking, the way I handle Markmans is if
02:11 6 someone makes a proposal, then it doesn't have to have
02:11 7 that.

02:11 8 Now, I mean, the real way of dealing with
02:12 9 this would have been not a Daubert, but would have
02:12 10 been -- well, it's having an additional Markman. I
02:12 11 mean, I've already rejected that that's the appropriate
02:12 12 construction. I rejected it.

02:12 13 So if you're having him argue that that's
02:12 14 what the plain and ordinary meaning has to be, then
02:12 15 that's not helping.

02:12 16 MR. RICH: They have multiple slides on
02:12 17 this later where they're going to argue noninfringement
02:12 18 based on that.

02:12 19 THE COURT: Yeah. This is not the plain
02:12 20 and ordinary meaning of this, or at a minimum, it
02:12 21 should have been taken up with me whether or not it
02:12 22 could be plain and ordinary meaning before he -- you
02:12 23 know, I -- I don't know what to say.

02:12 24 MR. RICH: We'd like an instruction to
02:12 25 the jury to disregard that last answer. He's talking

02:12 1 about their claim limitation.

02:12 2 MR. SCHLESINGER: That's going to be very
02:12 3 prejudicial. We did address this with Judge Gilliland.
02:12 4 We thought we were within exactly what Judge Gilliland
02:12 5 said we could do.

02:12 6 THE COURT: Tell me what he said you
02:12 7 thought you could do.

02:13 8 MR. SCHLESINGER: We argued this same
02:13 9 issue, and he said that we could put up evidence as to
02:13 10 what the plain and ordinary meaning was, and we weren't
02:13 11 precluded from doing this. And so we --

02:13 12 THE COURT: He said you were not
02:13 13 precluded from saying that your proposed construction
02:13 14 that was rejected was the plain and ordinary meaning?

02:13 15 Did you -- did you raise that with him?

02:13 16 MR. SCHLESINGER: That's exactly what
02:13 17 they raised in front of Judge Gilliland in all the
02:13 18 briefing, and that's the exact issue that he ruled on,
02:13 19 Your Honor.

02:13 20 MR. RICH: And we've objected to that
02:13 21 ruling, but this is exactly what Your Honor rejected.

02:13 22 MR. SCHLESINGER: And before
02:13 23 Dr. Nourbakhsh went up, they did not raise any
02:13 24 objections that that was an issue to address. We
02:13 25 thought this was resolved by Judge Gilliland's order

02:13 1 and them not raising it before he was put up on the
02:13 2 stand.

02:13 3 MR. RICH: Well, we can't see from their
02:13 4 slides that they're going to ask this. I mean, that is
02:13 5 directly contrary to chosen by the operator.

02:13 6 MR. SCHLESINGER: This is an issue that
02:13 7 he's already addressed.

02:13 8 Why don't I not ask him what the plain
02:13 9 and ordinary meaning is and still proceed with --

02:13 10 MR. RICH: That's already out there now.

02:14 11 THE COURT: Okay. That's it.

02:14 12 (Bench conference concludes.)

02:14 13 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the
02:14 14 jury, we're going to take a few minutes for a recess.
02:14 15 Hopefully we'll be back in five or ten minutes.

02:14 16 (Jury exited the courtroom.)

02:15 17 THE COURT: Doctor, you can step down.
02:15 18 You may be seated.

02:15 19 So the issue is the claim term is
02:15 20 "selected velocity and/or position." During the
02:15 21 Markman process, the defendant proposed: A velocity
02:15 22 and/or position chosen by -- I think he meant the
02:15 23 operator, not the operate.

02:15 24 Is that a typo, I'm assuming?

02:15 25 MR. RICH: That's correct, Your Honor.

02:15 1 THE COURT: Okay. Velocity and/or
02:15 2 position chosen by the operator of the aircraft. And
02:15 3 the Court rejected that and gave the plain and ordinary
02:15 4 meaning.

02:15 5 Now, the question is whether or not a
02:15 6 velocity -- whether or not a velocity and/or position
02:15 7 chosen by the operator of the aircraft is within the
02:15 8 ambit of being a plain and ordinary meaning.

02:16 9 Now, my understanding is that there was a
02:16 10 Daubert challenge by the plaintiff; is that right, to
02:16 11 the defendant?

02:16 12 MR. RICH: Correct, Your Honor.

02:16 13 THE COURT: Plaintiff challenged in the
02:16 14 form of a Daubert to the magistrate judge who ruled on
02:16 15 this and -- saying that the methodology -- I guess the
02:16 16 use of this construction was inappropriate because it
02:16 17 was different than plain and ordinary meaning.

02:16 18 And the Court -- Judge Gilliland denied
02:16 19 the Daubert which led the defendant to believe that it
02:16 20 was okay for you to proceed arguing that a velocity
02:16 21 and/or position chosen by the operator of the aircraft
02:16 22 falls within the ambit of the plain and ordinary
02:16 23 meaning.

02:16 24 So I think the only way to resolve this
02:16 25 at this point is I'll hear arguments right now on

02:17 1 why -- I'll start with the defendant, as to why you
02:17 2 believe that your proposed construction is within the
02:17 3 ambit of the plain and ordinary meaning, and then I'll
02:17 4 hear from plaintiff as to why they believe it's not.

02:17 5 And I'll just do a Markman -- I'll -- I
02:17 6 have the power to revise the Markman at any time, and
02:17 7 I'll rule on it at this time. And depending on what I
02:17 8 rule, we'll figure out whether or not he can say that.

02:17 9 So I'll hear first from defendant as to
02:17 10 why you believe that selected velocity and/or
02:17 11 position -- that your proposed construction is within
02:17 12 the plain and ordinary meaning.

02:17 13 MR. SCHLESINGER: Thank you, Your Honor.

02:17 14 THE COURT: Yes.

02:17 15 MR. SCHLESINGER: So the '909 patent, as
02:17 16 we heard from the inventor testimony, is about allowing
02:18 17 a plane -- aircraft to come in and land on a ship and
02:18 18 how to use different profiles for landing on a ship.
02:18 19 For example, the figures, they show the different
02:18 20 operator selections and that all these things can be
02:18 21 input.

02:18 22 And the idea that you have a selected
02:18 23 relative velocity, which is the commanded data here,
02:18 24 the selection itself means it has to be done. That you
02:18 25 have to be able to -- an operator has to be able to

02:18 1 input that selection, not that there's something --
02:18 2 code that can allow for the ship to land on the plane,
02:18 3 but actually the program data for the different
02:18 4 velocities, like Mr. Epp was explaining in his video,
02:18 5 that the profile would change. It would come in at
02:18 6 500 miles an hour or something and then switch to 4,
02:18 7 then to 3, and then to 2 and then to land on it.

02:18 8 That's the profile. That's what's being
02:18 9 programmed, and that's what's being selected.

02:18 10 Now, it can be done prior to flight, and
02:18 11 it can also be done during flight. That's what the
02:19 12 patent explains and that, in both instances, it needs
02:19 13 to be selected.

02:19 14 And so our position is that that selected
02:19 15 relative velocity is -- does actually require some type
02:19 16 of choice to be able to meet the selected. It's not
02:19 17 just any relative velocity. It's a selected relative
02:19 18 velocity, Your Honor.

02:19 19 THE COURT: Okay. Well, and you guys are
02:19 20 the smart technical people. As I've said before, my
02:19 21 patent ability has been described by a friend as being
02:19 22 that of a dog watching a television. So I'll do the
02:19 23 best that I can here.

02:19 24 But it seems to me, doing this on the fly
02:19 25 here, that what the defendant has done is essentially

02:19 1 reordered the claim term, selected velocity and/or
02:19 2 position, and kind of flipped it around and swapped out
02:20 3 the word "selected" with the word "chosen."

02:20 4 And so to me -- and you can both tell me
02:20 5 I'm wrong or either of you can tell me I'm wrong, but
02:20 6 to me the question here is whether or not the
02:20 7 substitution of the word "chosen" is different than the
02:20 8 plain and ordinary meaning of "selected."

02:20 9 That's the way I see this.

02:20 10 Is that the way the plaintiff sees it?

02:20 11 MR. RICH: No, Your Honor.

02:20 12 THE COURT: Okay. Why don't you tell me
02:20 13 what your concern is, and then I'll let the defendant
02:20 14 tell me what the problem is.

02:20 15 MR. RICH: Yes, Your Honor.

02:20 16 It's actually the same concern we already
02:20 17 resolved at Markman, and they're trying to add in the
02:20 18 words that it has to be by the operator.

02:20 19 And what they're going to do is take that
02:20 20 and say that the velocity has to be chosen by the
02:20 21 operator and then argue that the Follow Me mode is
02:20 22 preprogrammed, not the operator.

02:20 23 THE COURT: I got it. I got it.

02:21 24 So y'all have no problem with it -- the
02:21 25 inventor could have used the word "chosen" and there

02:21 1 wouldn't have been a problem. I mean, that's not the
02:21 2 problem here. He could have used selected or chosen.
02:21 3 Your problem is their addition of saying
02:21 4 "by the operator of the aircraft." And I'm pretty
02:21 5 sure, but I can't promise you, that that's the same
02:21 6 argument you made at the Markman hearing to me that --
02:21 7 where I thought the addition of the "by the operator of
02:21 8 the aircraft" was too limiting and was not required
02:21 9 within the ambit of the plain and ordinary meaning of
02:21 10 selective velocity or position, because it didn't
02:21 11 require that.

02:21 12 That's what you argued. And I'm not sure
02:21 13 if I said that on the record, but I'm pretty sure that
02:21 14 was my logic.

02:21 15 Is that what you recall?

02:21 16 MR. RICH: That's exactly right,
02:21 17 Your Honor. There's multiple preprogrammed ways of
02:21 18 doing this in the patent. That was squarely resolved
02:21 19 at Markman.

02:21 20 THE COURT: Okay. Let me hear from the
02:22 21 defendant as to why I ought to include chosen by the
02:22 22 operator of the aircraft within the plain and ordinary
02:22 23 meaning of selected velocity and/or position.

02:22 24 MR. SCHLESINGER: Your Honor, we
02:22 25 actually -- if you recall Dr. Nourbakhsh's testimony,

02:22 1 he wasn't saying by the operator. He was just saying
02:22 2 there has to be some type of selection by somebody.

02:22 3 By the operator is not critical. It's
02:22 4 just the fact that we think that a selection itself
02:22 5 needs to be done. Whether that word's "selection" or
02:22 6 "chosen," there still needs to be a selection.

02:22 7 THE COURT: Made by someone or -- and the
02:22 8 plaintiff's argument is it could be preprogrammed, and
02:22 9 so there doesn't -- I'm just trying to find out.

02:22 10 MR. SCHLESINGER: Yeah. If I could, they
02:22 11 basically say because our products have a fixed ability
02:22 12 to follow an object, it's hard coded. That you -- that
02:22 13 that itself is selection.

02:22 14 And what our products work is they -- it
02:22 15 actually follows based on position, and you select
02:22 16 that -- the user selects it. And the reason they don't
02:22 17 like that is because it's -- one, it's not velocity,
02:22 18 and then, two, it's after it's in flight, which is
02:22 19 exactly what the claim doesn't require.

02:23 20 And that's the opinion Dr. Nourbakhsh is
02:23 21 going to give.

02:23 22 THE COURT: A response?

02:23 23 MR. RICH: Your Honor, these words are
02:23 24 very clear in this claim, and it just says selected
02:23 25 velocity. It doesn't matter who has to select it --

02:23 1 THE COURT: Or if it was preselected.

02:23 2 MR. RICH: Or if it was -- as long as
02:23 3 there's an algorithm in there that calculates the
02:23 4 velocity, that's our --

02:23 5 THE COURT: Could you put up the -- could
02:23 6 one of you put up the claim so I could see this within
02:23 7 the context?

02:23 8 Okay.

02:23 9 MR. RICH: Does Your Honor see the claim?

02:23 10 THE COURT: Uh-huh. And just give me one
02:23 11 second.

02:23 12 MR. RICH: Okay.

02:23 13 THE COURT: And this is only used once,
02:23 14 right? Between 45 and 50?

02:24 15 MR. RICH: Well, commanded data is
02:24 16 referenced later in the claim where it says it's
02:24 17 preprogrammed.

02:24 18 THE COURT: Okay.

02:24 19 MR. RICH: At the very bottom of the
02:24 20 claim, Your Honor.

02:24 21 THE COURT: And is -- and is and/or
02:24 22 position, where does that come from in the proposed
02:24 23 claim term?

02:24 24 All I see is: It maintains a selected
02:24 25 velocity relative to the reference.

02:24 1 MR. RICH: That comes from a separate
02:24 2 claim where they were trying to construe them all at
02:24 3 once.

02:24 4 THE COURT: Okay.

02:25 5 MR. SCHLESINGER: If I may, Your Honor.

02:25 6 THE COURT: Sure. Please.

02:25 7 MR. RICH: Well, yeah. I was going to
02:25 8 direct Your Honor to some specification support, if
02:25 9 you'd like it.

02:25 10 THE COURT: Let me hear from him real
02:25 11 quick, and then you're welcome to show me the spec.

02:25 12 MR. SCHLESINGER: If we could pull up
02:25 13 Column 4, around Line 32?

02:25 14 THE COURT: Okay.

02:25 15 MR. SCHLESINGER: And in particular,
02:25 16 Lines 36 through 39.

02:25 17 It talks about the selected position
02:25 18 and/or velocity is transmitted. Again, this is -- some
02:25 19 type of selection is happening here, Your Honor.

02:25 20 And the issue is going to be whether a
02:25 21 preprogrammed algorithm that maintains a later selected
02:25 22 position meets whether there's the claim requirement
02:26 23 for a selected velocity.

02:26 24 There's no velocity in our products.
02:26 25 There's no selected velocity, preprogrammed or not.

02:26 1 THE COURT: Okay. Yes, sir.

02:26 2 MR. RICH: Yes, Your Honor.

02:26 3 That's referring to a particular
02:26 4 embodiment where it's not preprogrammed into the
02:26 5 system. The claim that we're looking at is
02:26 6 preprogrammed into the system.

02:26 7 And if I could point Your Honor to --
02:26 8 which I believe we pointed Your Honor to during claim
02:26 9 construction at Column 5, Lines 50 through 55, the
02:26 10 patent says that: There may be semiautomated actions
02:26 11 or shortcuts that are programmed into the system.

02:26 12 So that's like hitting a button and then
02:26 13 it just happens for you, and that's exactly what their
02:26 14 product does.

02:26 15 And then later on in the patent, it talks
02:26 16 about many advantages of autonomous and semiautonomous
02:26 17 modes. This is about autonomy and not something that a
02:27 18 user has to do.

02:27 19 And the inventors testified that this was
02:27 20 one way to do it. You didn't have to have an operator.
02:27 21 That was Mr. Harris.

02:27 22 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

02:27 23 MR. SCHLESINGER: Yeah. If you actually
02:27 24 look at that, what that is talking about being --

02:27 25 THE COURT: By "that"?

02:27 1 MR. SCHLESINGER: Sorry. The Column 5
02:27 2 reference --

02:27 3 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

02:27 4 MR. SCHLESINGER: -- on Line 50, what
02:27 5 that's talking about.

02:27 6 It then goes on to explain that the
02:27 7 operator then selects -- makes that selection. It's a
02:27 8 shortcut. So there's still a selection being done in
02:27 9 that example.

02:27 10 And it's again -- and we're not critical
02:27 11 with by the operator, but there still has to be
02:27 12 selection. But that, again, does talk about the
02:27 13 operator making that selection.

02:27 14 MR. RICH: And, Your Honor, there's a
02:27 15 "for example" in the sentence that counsel pointed to.

02:27 16 MR. SCHLESINGER: This is the cite that
02:27 17 they referred to, Your Honor.

02:27 18 THE COURT: I understand. Okay.
02:27 19 Anything else?

02:27 20 MR. RICH: No, Your Honor. Just that
02:28 21 we've already addressed it.

02:28 22 THE COURT: Anything else?

02:28 23 MR. SCHLESINGER: Not for this one,
02:28 24 Your Honor.

02:28 25 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to take a

02:28 1 very short recess. I'll be back in just a couple
02:28 2 minutes.

02:28 3 THE BAILIFF: All rise.

02:28 4 (Recess taken.)

02:33 5 THE COURT: Thank you. You may be
02:33 6 seated.

02:33 7 So the Court has conducted what, in my
02:34 8 opinion, is essentially an additional Markman hearing.
02:34 9 I understand why the defendant -- I'm sorry -- I
02:34 10 understand that the plaintiff filed a Daubert and that
02:34 11 the magistrate judge denied the Daubert on this issue.

02:34 12 But I -- the way I see it, and there's no
02:34 13 way for maybe other people to know how I would see it,
02:34 14 but is when there's a fight like this where a party --
02:34 15 an expert has taken the position and says there's
02:34 16 infringement because of this construction or no
02:34 17 infringement because of this construction and there's a
02:34 18 fight over whether or not the construction the expert
02:34 19 used, I always of think that as being a motion for
02:35 20 summary judgment because it's -- as a matter of law,
02:35 21 it's wrong.

02:35 22 But having said all that, we are where
02:35 23 we're at right now. I feel certain that in this case
02:35 24 when I made the determination that "selected velocity
02:35 25 and/or position" was plain and ordinary meaning and

02:35 1 rejected the defendants' proposed construction, I feel
02:35 2 certain that my decision was based on the fact that I
02:35 3 did not believe that the plain and ordinary meaning
02:35 4 required or provided for the addition of "chosen by the
02:35 5 operator of the aircraft."

02:35 6 So my construction of this -- I think the
02:35 7 result of that is that the defendant may not have their
02:35 8 expert argue that there's no infringement because the
02:35 9 product does not comply with a construction that
02:36 10 requires that "velocity and/or position be chosen by
02:36 11 operator of the aircraft."

02:36 12 So I'm -- I find that the construction
02:36 13 that is in front of me is not the correct plain and
02:36 14 ordinary meaning, and it would be inappropriate for the
02:36 15 expert to argue that to the jury.

02:36 16 So that being said, do you -- does the
02:36 17 defendant need to do anything with your expert to --
02:36 18 he's here. He's -- and he's heard what I've said.

02:36 19 Do you need to do anything to -- because
02:36 20 of that adjustment?

02:36 21 I don't want to prejudice you. I
02:36 22 think -- I think you are absolutely -- it was fair for
02:36 23 you to assume, based on what happened with the Daubert
02:36 24 motion, that the testimony was -- would -- could go
02:36 25 forward. And so is there anything you need to do to

02:36 1 prepare your expert now before we move forward?

02:36 2 MR. SCHLESINGER: Can I ask for a
02:36 3 clarification, Your Honor?

02:36 4 THE COURT: You can.

02:36 5 MR. SCHLESINGER: I understand that we
02:37 6 cannot say anything about "selected by an operator,"
02:37 7 but are we still within bounds to talk about whether or
02:37 8 not there's been a selection at all?

02:37 9 THE COURT: Well, I can't give -- you
02:37 10 know, I can't give that kind of -- you'll just have to
02:37 11 do what you do. It says "selected velocity." So I
02:37 12 don't see any way I could tell you, you can't talk
02:37 13 about selection at all. That doesn't make sense to me.

02:37 14 But I don't know -- clearly there was
02:37 15 play in the joints there, I'm sure, over what you'd
02:37 16 like him to say about selection and what the plaintiff
02:37 17 thinks would be appropriate. So all I can do is -- you
02:37 18 ask your questions, and if they want to object, we'll
02:37 19 have to go that way.

02:37 20 MR. SCHLESINGER: And I could use some
02:37 21 time to tweak the slides a little.

02:37 22 And then also if you would indulge us, I
02:37 23 think there's another term that they would likely
02:37 24 object on. It's been in our slides so I'm not sure we
02:37 25 haven't -- why we haven't seen an objection yet. But

02:37 1 there was another term argued that, again, we thought
02:37 2 was resolved with Judge Gilliland that I'd like to
02:38 3 address.

02:38 4 THE COURT: Sure. Let's do it now.

02:38 5 MR. SCHLESINGER: May I approach?

02:38 6 THE COURT: Sure. Of course.

02:38 7 MR. SCHLESINGER: So this term concerns
02:38 8 the '752 patent. During Markman, we asked to construe
02:38 9 the broader phrase, but what we're focused in now on is
02:38 10 the term "forward speed hold."

02:38 11 THE COURT: Okay.

02:38 12 MR. SCHLESINGER: If we could pull up the
02:38 13 '752 patent. And this is Claim 13. It's around
02:38 14 Line 33, the forward speed hold loop.

02:38 15 THE COURT: Okay.

02:38 16 MR. SCHLESINGER: And just for context,
02:38 17 Your Honor, plaintiff's expert has put in evidence in
02:38 18 the record that basically just anything setting a speed
02:38 19 is sufficient, just any speed control, and we believe
02:38 20 the patent's clear as well as testimony.

02:38 21 We have testimony from Mr. Christensen
02:39 22 that I'd like to show Your Honor about what that means
02:39 23 for forward speed hold and what he is referring to.
02:39 24 After that I can point to the patent to talk about it
02:39 25 and explain.

02:39 1 And just for context, Your Honor,
02:39 2 Mr. Christensen was deposed after Your Honor's claim
02:39 3 construction ruling. So this is additional new
02:39 4 evidence. And what we're seeing here on Lines 4
02:39 5 through 7 is Mr. Christensen admitting that when the
02:39 6 forward speed hold loop engages, it will maintain the
02:39 7 aircraft's current forward velocity. And what we're
02:39 8 talking about is both -- the term "forward speed hold
02:39 9 loop."

02:39 10 And then if we can go back to the claim.

02:40 11 And I'd like to highlight the entire
02:40 12 longitudinal loop design limitation -- or I'm sorry --
02:40 13 if you could blow that up, not highlight it.

02:40 14 And if you could highlight "a forward
02:40 15 speed hold loop" and the second wherein clause, please.

02:40 16 And so what this claim limitation is
02:40 17 describing is --

02:40 18 And leave the highlights as you have
02:40 19 them.

02:40 20 But the first wherein clause talks about
02:40 21 when the forward speed hold loop -- oh, I'm sorry. I
02:40 22 told you to highlight the -- we'll stick with this --
02:40 23 is when the stick is out of detent, meaning it's out of
02:40 24 the center position, it will command -- it'll basically
02:40 25 do either an attitude or a rate command, and then when

02:40 1 you let it go, what does it do.

02:40 2 And so what this claim requires is that
02:40 3 the forward speed hold loop automatically engages. So
02:41 4 automatic engagement when it's returned to both the
02:41 5 detent and if the speed's outside of a first
02:41 6 groundspeed threshold.

02:41 7 And that's what Mr. Christensen was
02:41 8 talking about. And this is going to be in reference to
02:41 9 Figure 1.

02:41 10 If we can go there?

02:41 11 What this is referring to is the circle
02:41 12 here is the groundspeed threshold because the patent
02:41 13 talks about the forward speed hold loop is outside of
02:41 14 the AHH, which is the automatic hover hold region. And
02:41 15 you can see the arrows here going forward.

02:41 16 And what this is describing is when
02:41 17 you're outside of this region, you let go, it just goes
02:41 18 forward, maintains the current speed.

02:41 19 If you're inside the automatic hover hold
02:41 20 region and you let go, it hovers. It slows down and
02:41 21 hovers.

02:41 22 There's other things that can bring you
02:41 23 to a hover from outside that region. It's called a
02:41 24 high-speed transition hover. It's not called a forward
02:42 25 speed hold loop.

02:42 1 And so, you know, when talking about in
02:42 2 Column 5, Lines 21 through 30, in the first part of
02:42 3 that, about automatically engaging when the
02:42 4 controller's returned to detent position and the
02:42 5 groundspeed is outside the AHH region, which is that
02:42 6 circle we were talking about, the forward speed hold
02:42 7 loop will automatically engage.

02:42 8 And Mr. Christensen admitted that means
02:42 9 maintaining the current forward speed.

02:42 10 THE COURT: And so what is it you're --
02:42 11 what is it you want me to do?

02:42 12 MR. SCHLESINGER: So, again, what
02:42 13 Dr. Nourbakhsh is going to testify about is that -- is
02:42 14 basically this. That the -- what the patent is
02:42 15 describing for a forward speed hold loop, when it's
02:42 16 automatically engaged in detent, it means holding the
02:42 17 speed, not decelerating to zero. And we think that's
02:42 18 the plain and ordinary meaning.

02:43 19 THE COURT: And decelerating to zero and
02:43 20 hovering?

02:43 21 MR. SCHLESINGER: Right. Not
02:43 22 decelerating to zero and hovering, Your Honor.

02:43 23 THE COURT: Okay.

02:43 24 Yes, sir.

02:43 25 And help me out. Was this a claim term

02:43 1 that we took up?

02:43 2 MR. RICH: Your Honor, may I approach
02:43 3 with the claim construction order from Your Honor?

02:43 4 THE COURT: Sure.

02:43 5 MR. RICH: I highlighted it for you.

02:43 6 Your Honor, as you can see, this dispute,
02:43 7 again, was squarely before the Court at the Markman
02:43 8 hearing where DJI tried to get the Court to construe
02:43 9 the claim to be limited to maintaining "current forward
02:43 10 speed." Current forward speed.

02:43 11 And I'll read Your Honor how they framed
02:43 12 the brief from -- framed the dispute at that point in
02:43 13 time. This is their claim construction brief:

02:43 14 The parties dispute whether the forward
02:43 15 speed hold results in the aircraft maintaining its
02:43 16 current forward speed as proposed by DJI or whether it
02:44 17 results in the aircraft slowing down to a stop and
02:44 18 hovering when the controller is returned to a detent,
02:44 19 as Textron contends.

02:44 20 That was resolved against DJI already,
02:44 21 and we had all the support in our claim construction
02:44 22 brief.

02:44 23 THE COURT: And so to make sure I
02:44 24 understand, the defendant would like -- and if I get
02:44 25 this wrong, someone holler.

02:44 1 What the defendant would like to say is
02:44 2 that he would -- they would like to have their expert
02:44 3 say that when we're talking about forward speed loop,
02:44 4 that it will -- it will maintain the current forward
02:44 5 speed, and theirs -- and their product doesn't do this
02:44 6 so it doesn't infringe.

02:44 7 That's what -- and your argument is --
02:44 8 the plaintiff's argument is that at the time they
02:44 9 proposed -- at the time I dealt with the Markman, the
02:45 10 construction of "forward speed hold loop," the
02:45 11 requirement that it maintain the current forward speed
02:45 12 was discussed at the -- was discussed in the briefing
02:45 13 and probably at the hearing as well. And the Court
02:45 14 rejected the requirement that it have to maintain the
02:45 15 current forward speed and gave plain and ordinary
02:45 16 meaning.

02:45 17 MR. SCHLESINGER: May I clarify
02:45 18 defendants' position, Your Honor?

02:45 19 THE COURT: Sure.

02:45 20 MR. SCHLESINGER: "Current" is not
02:45 21 important. What we're trying to distinguish between is
02:45 22 maintaining a speed, not decelerating to zero.

02:45 23 And I will just add, there's just no
02:45 24 description support for saying that forward speed hold
02:45 25 doesn't maintain a speed, instead is a deceleration or,

02:45 1 you know, decrease to hover.

02:45 2 THE COURT: Okay.

02:45 3 MR. RICH: That's incorrect. I can point
02:45 4 Your Honor to support in the specification, if we need
02:45 5 it.

02:45 6 THE COURT: Why don't you do that?

02:45 7 MR. RICH: Okay. Your Honor, there's a
02:45 8 mode --

02:45 9 THE COURT: Just to protect your record.

02:45 10 MR. RICH: Your Honor, there's a mode
02:45 11 called high-speed transition to hold in Column 9 of the
02:46 12 patent -- in the '752 patent, that is.

02:46 13 And in Column 9 at Lines 35 through
02:46 14 Column 10, roughly around Line 8, what this mode does
02:46 15 is that the aircraft will decelerate and then hold at
02:46 16 its position.

02:46 17 And that's what this whole mode is about.
02:46 18 And the inventor, Kevin Christensen, testified that
02:46 19 that's part of his invention.

02:46 20 THE COURT: A response?

02:46 21 MR. SCHLESINGER: Yes, Your Honor.

02:46 22 If you actually look at Claim 19, that
02:46 23 claim is about the high-speed transition to hover. And
02:46 24 the high-speed transition to hover, it actually talks
02:46 25 about it will not engage if it's in forward speed hold

02:46 1 loop, and instead it will re-engage the forward speed
02:46 2 hold loop.

02:46 3 We'll pull that up and show Your Honor.

02:46 4 THE COURT: It's up.

02:46 5 MR. RICH: I'll add that Claim 13 is the
02:46 6 way you carry out all the different modes in the
02:47 7 patent. The point about Claim 19 or 18 is not the
02:47 8 right point.

02:47 9 MR. SCHLESINGER: It says on Line 57:
02:47 10 The high-speed transition to hover will not take place
02:47 11 since speed hold will be re-engaged.

02:47 12 That's talking about speed hold, forward
02:47 13 speed hold. It's not slowing down, Your Honor.

02:47 14 So what they cited to is directly
02:47 15 contradictory to their -- to what they're saying the
02:47 16 plain and ordinary meaning is -- I'm sorry -- what the
02:47 17 spec describes.

02:47 18 MR. RICH: In Column 5, Your Honor,
02:47 19 Lines 33 through 35. Your Honor, the patent says: The
02:47 20 forward speed hold, FSH, function will be able to
02:47 21 stabilize more quickly at any groundspeed by
02:47 22 initializing to the approximate pitch attitude required
02:48 23 to hold that speed.

02:48 24 It can be any groundspeed. And
02:48 25 Mr. Christensen testified that you target your speed

02:48 1 and then you close on that speed, and it can be zero.

02:48 2 MR. SCHLESINGER: And, Your Honor, what
02:48 3 the claim talks about is engaging when you're at a
02:48 4 certain speed. And what this claim -- this language
02:48 5 right here's talking about is initializing to hold that
02:48 6 speed. When it says "that speed," it's referring to
02:48 7 the speed at which you engage.

02:48 8 And that's exactly what Mr. Christensen
02:48 9 testified -- I showed Your Honor -- is when it engages,
02:48 10 it's holding that current speed. That's what this is
02:48 11 saying.

02:48 12 MR. RICH: And I think he said earlier
02:48 13 that they're not trying to inject the words "current
02:48 14 speed," but I just heard it again. And this was the
02:48 15 dispute at Markman that was resolved against DJI,
02:48 16 Your Honor.

02:48 17 MR. SCHLESINGER: Your Honor, they're
02:48 18 trying to read out the word "hold."

02:48 19 THE COURT: They're trying to read out
02:48 20 the word --

02:48 21 MR. SCHLESINGER: Speed hold.

02:48 22 THE COURT: The current -- what I hear
02:48 23 them trying to read out is maintaining the current
02:48 24 speed.

02:48 25 MR. RICH: They're trying to read in

02:48 1 maintaining the current speed --

02:48 2 THE COURT: No. I'm saying you're trying
02:48 3 to keep them from being able to do that.

02:48 4 MR. SCHLESINGER: And again, Your
02:48 5 Honor --

02:48 6 MR. RICH: We'd like to read, Your Honor,
02:48 7 the claims as they come, and they hold zero.

02:49 8 MR. SCHLESINGER: The claim actually
02:49 9 talks about maintaining a speed, Your Honor. It's
02:49 10 holding a speed, and that is not decelerating to zero.
02:49 11 That's what -- that's what automatic hover hold is.
02:49 12 That was what the parties agreed that term meant, not
02:49 13 forward speed hold.

02:49 14 THE COURT: Anything else?

02:49 15 MR. RICH: Other than they said what the
02:49 16 dispute was at Markman and it's been resolved.

02:49 17 THE COURT: Anything else?

02:49 18 MR. SCHLESINGER: No. Mr. Christensen
02:49 19 wasn't deposed by then.

02:49 20 Thank you, Your Honor.

02:49 21 MR. RICH: He testified on the stand,
02:49 22 Your Honor, about what it means. And we have his
02:49 23 testimony, if we need to see it.

02:49 24 THE COURT: Anything else?

02:49 25 MR. SCHLESINGER: No, Your Honor.

02:49 1 THE COURT: Okay.

02:52 2 (Off-the-record bench conference.)

02:54 3 MR. RICH: Your Honor, do we need to
02:54 4 identify Mr. Christensen's testimony or Dr. Michalson's
02:54 5 for the record?

02:54 6 THE COURT: No. I mean, you're welcome
02:54 7 to. If you want to do it for the record, you're
02:54 8 welcome to. If you're asking do I need it, I don't.
02:54 9 But if you want to protect your record to add it,
02:54 10 that's fine.

02:54 11 Okay. The Court is again going to find
02:54 12 that -- I just feel like this was briefed at the
02:54 13 Markman. I'm sure it was argued at the Markman and --
02:54 14 that when I said "plain and ordinary meaning," it
02:55 15 was -- it was a rejection that -- for forward speed
02:55 16 loop. That it had to maintain the current speed as was
02:55 17 proposed by the defendant.

02:55 18 So that, too, I'm not going to allow your
02:55 19 expert to testify about that either. The language that
02:55 20 you have in your proposal I don't think is the correct
02:55 21 construction of the term.

02:55 22 Do you need time to get with your expert
02:55 23 and slides?

02:55 24 MR. SCHLESINGER: Yes, Your Honor.

02:55 25 We had them prepared two days ago and

02:55 1 exchanged and didn't get any objections. We took a lot
02:55 2 of time. If you would indulge us for that, we'd
02:55 3 appreciate it.

02:55 4 THE COURT: No. No. I understand. How
02:55 5 much time do you need?

02:55 6 MR. SCHLESINGER: I know Your Honor's
02:55 7 ending at 4:30. I don't know how -- if it makes sense
02:55 8 to go back on the record at 4:00, a little after 4:00,
02:55 9 or if it makes sense to just resume after your hearing.

02:55 10 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

02:55 11 MR. MEEK: We could do the charge
02:55 12 conference while they're doing that.

02:55 13 THE COURT: We could do that. We could
02:56 14 do that. Yeah. Let's do that.

15 MR. MEEK: Parallel.

02:56 16 THE COURT: Say again?

02:56 17 MR. MEEK: Parallel.

02:56 18 THE COURT: So we can go off the record.

02:56 19 (Off-the-record discussion.)

04:04 20 (Recess taken.)

04:11 21 (Hearing begins.)

04:11 22 THE BAILIFF: All rise.

04:11 23 THE COURT: Please remain standing for
04:11 24 the jury.

04:11 25 (Jury entered the courtroom.)

04:11 1 THE COURT: Thank you. You may be
04:11 2 seated.

04:11 3 Counsel?

04:11 4 MR. SCHLESINGER: Thank you, Your Honor.
04:11 5 BY MR. SCHLESINGER:

04:11 6 Q. All right. Dr. Nourbakhsh, when we were
04:11 7 talking before, before we broke, we were looking
04:11 8 through Claim 1 of the '909 patent.

04:11 9 We have an updated slide with the -- a new
04:11 10 slide with the summary here.

04:11 11 Could you please explain an overview of what
04:11 12 you were explaining earlier?

04:12 13 A. Certainly. The claims that we were talking
04:12 14 about, this sort of graphically shows some of the
04:12 15 elements that I was talking about.

04:12 16 We have at the very top here "commanded data
04:12 17 representing a selected relative velocity." That's a
04:12 18 requirement for the claim.

04:12 19 And then we have the idea that the aircraft,
04:12 20 this one here, is receiving -- you'll recall we talked
04:12 21 about -- this position and movement data about the
04:12 22 reference vehicle, which in this picture is a ship.

04:12 23 And then we have the idea that the aircraft is
04:12 24 controlling a selected relative velocity, just deciding
04:12 25 what to do and setting those.

04:12 1 And then we had that very last element in the
04:12 2 claim, which was that the commanded data, which is
04:12 3 selected relative velocity, needs to be preprogrammed
04:12 4 prior to flight.

04:12 5 And that's why I have the aircraft sitting on
04:12 6 an island here before it's flown to the boat.

04:12 7 Q. And what is required for Textron to prove
04:12 8 infringement?

04:13 9 A. Textron needs to show that, when you read that
04:13 10 claim, every single element, all the lines, all the
04:13 11 little paragraphs in the claim, all of those are
04:13 12 practiced, every one of them, by, in this case, a DJI
04:13 13 drone.

04:13 14 Q. And what does it mean if even a single one of
04:13 15 these elements is missing?

04:13 16 A. Then the claim is not infringed by that
04:13 17 machine.

04:13 18 Q. Do you know what DJI products Textron accuses
04:13 19 of infringing?

04:13 20 A. I do. I have a picture of some of them on the
04:13 21 next slide.

04:13 22 I'll slow down. Sorry.

04:13 23 These are two examples of drones that DJI
04:13 24 makes. You've heard a lot about these in the case
04:13 25 already. I've probably flown at least seven or eight

04:13 1 versions of these by now in preparing for this case.

04:13 2 I also own one of the ones on the left, the
04:13 3 white one called a Phantom, because many years ago I
04:13 4 used it and taught biologists how to use it in South
04:13 5 Africa to count seals on islands off the coast of
04:13 6 Africa so they can keep track of how the seal
04:14 7 populations are doing over time.

04:14 8 MR. SCHLESINGER: Your Honor, may I
04:14 9 approach?

04:14 10 THE COURT: Please.

11 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, can I put this
12 up here?

13 THE COURT: Of course.

04:14 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

04:14 15 BY MR. SCHLESINGER:

04:14 16 Q. And I've handed you Defendants' Exhibit 746,
04:14 17 which is the Mavic Air 2.

04:14 18 Do you see that one?

04:14 19 A. I do.

04:14 20 Q. Have you flown this drone?

04:14 21 A. I have.

04:14 22 Q. And I also handed you the DJI Mini 3 Pro,
04:14 23 which is Defendants' Exhibit 988.

04:14 24 Have you flown that drone?

04:14 25 A. I have.

04:14 1 Q. And are these drones accused products in this
04:14 2 case?

04:14 3 A. Yes. They are.

04:14 4 Q. And did your flying of these drones inform
04:14 5 your opinions in this case?

04:14 6 A. Yes.

04:14 7 MR. SCHLESINGER: And defendants move to
04:14 8 admit Defendants' Exhibit 988, the DJI Mini 3 Pro.

04:15 9 MR. RICH: No objection.

04:15 10 MR. SCHLESINGER: DJI moves to admit
04:15 11 Defendants' Exhibit 988, the DJI Mini 3 Pro.

04:15 12 THE COURT: Admitted.

04:15 13 MR. SCHLESINGER: Thank you, Your Honor.

04:15 14 BY MR. SCHLESINGER:

04:15 15 Q. What features of these drones does Textron
04:15 16 accuse of infringing?

04:15 17 A. I believe I have a slide to talk about that.

04:15 18 You heard these two words before in this case.

04:15 19 These are the specific functions, the way of using
04:15 20 these drones, that Textron is accusing of infringing.
04:15 21 One is called Follow Me, and the other one is called
04:15 22 ActiveTrack.

04:15 23 Q. Let's start with Follow Me.

04:15 24 Can you describe how Follow Me works and what
04:15 25 it is?

04:15 1 A. Certainly. I have a screenshot here. It's
04:15 2 actually a picture of the way DJI describes Follow Me.
04:15 3 The idea behind Follow Me is that as you're moving
04:16 4 about, maybe you're taking a hike or skiing down a
04:16 5 mountain -- although, in this weather, I would take a
04:16 6 hike over skiing down the mountain -- the drone follows
04:16 7 you at a fixed position, and it can essentially
04:16 8 videotape you and be your own camera person. So it
04:16 9 creates a really nice video of what you're doing as you
04:16 10 move.

04:16 11 Q. And have you tested the Follow Me feature?

04:16 12 A. I have.

04:16 13 Q. Can you explain how to start Follow Me?

04:16 14 A. Sure. Let's go to the next slide, please.

04:16 15 I want to walk you through what it means to
04:16 16 get Follow Me to start running.

04:16 17 So first I drive to the river, in this case,
04:16 18 and take it out of the box, unfold the little wings
04:16 19 here so all the propellers are facing up. Then I turn
04:16 20 it on, and I turn the controller on. Then I take off,
04:16 21 because none of this works until I take off.

04:16 22 I take off, and what I want to do is fly the
04:16 23 drone up to a place where I like the view it has of me.
04:16 24 So depending on what activity I'm doing, I might want
04:17 25 it to be 25-feet away from me, 50 feet in the air,

04:17 1 angle the camera so it's looking at me just so.

04:17 2 And once I like where the drone is positioned
04:17 3 in the sky, then I can bring up on the controller
04:17 4 here -- I have my phone connected to it up here, and so
04:17 5 on that phone I'm running an app. And on that app, I
04:17 6 can see myself in that camera, which is admittedly
04:17 7 strange to see yourself from somewhere else.

04:17 8 But then what I can do is I can tell it to go
04:17 9 into a special flight mode, and then this is the screen
04:17 10 that I see that I'm showing here.

04:17 11 And on this screen, there's an apply button
04:17 12 down here. And if I press on that, then what it does
04:17 13 is it records the distance between itself up in the sky
04:17 14 and me at that moment in time.

04:17 15 And I think somebody earlier, one of the
04:17 16 people, talked about the idea of an invisible leash.
04:17 17 It acts like there's a leash running from me to the
04:17 18 drone. So then if I run or hike or bicycle, it'll just
04:18 19 stay that same distance away from me as I go about my
04:18 20 business.

04:18 21 Q. And how does the drone actually follow you?

04:18 22 A. We can go to the next slide for that. Let me
04:18 23 clear the annotation.

04:18 24 The way the drone follows you, you also heard
04:18 25 about -- I think Dr. Michalson described this to you.

04:18 1 When I'm holding this and I have my phone in this, when
04:18 2 I tell it to start following me, the phone and this
04:18 3 controller send the aircraft the GPS location, the
04:18 4 latitude and longitude, of me. And so it knows where I
04:18 5 am. And it has its own GPS on board so it knows where
04:18 6 it is, and it can measure the difference between the
04:18 7 two.

04:18 8 And it keeps getting my position as I move
04:18 9 around. So as I walk around with this in my hand, I
04:18 10 keep sending it my position. And so it keeps knowing
04:18 11 where I am now, and it can adjust its position so that
04:18 12 it's still the same distance away from me.

04:19 13 Q. And Dr. Michalson says that Follow Me feature
04:19 14 infringes Claim 1 of the '909 patent.

04:19 15 Do you agree?

04:19 16 A. No.

04:19 17 Q. Why not?

04:19 18 A. Well, let's go to the patent.

04:19 19 Every word in the patent matters. So we need
04:19 20 to go through the words in the patent and see if we're
04:19 21 doing everything that the claim lays out. And I have
04:19 22 that cartoon on the left to kind of help us visually
04:19 23 understand where we're at.

04:19 24 Q. Okay. Can you be a little more specific and
04:19 25 explain what limitations are missing in the Follow Me?

04:19 1 A. Absolutely. Let's go forward one slide,
04:19 2 please.

04:19 3 The first limitation I want to talk about is
04:19 4 the idea that you have commanded data that has a
04:19 5 selected relative velocity.

04:19 6 With Follow Me, as I just described, it's
04:19 7 simply dealing with how far away it is from me. That
04:19 8 leash, it's a position leash. There is no commanded
04:19 9 data that represents selected relative velocity.
04:19 10 There's no relative velocity at all. And so we just
04:20 11 don't have that one.

04:20 12 Q. And do you know that just from flying the
04:20 13 drone?

04:20 14 A. Well, to be sure of my opinions, I did this
04:20 15 little circle of things. I circuited. I'd fly the
04:20 16 drone. I'd try and understand what it's doing, then
04:20 17 I'd look at the source code. I had access to all the
04:20 18 same software that Dr. Michalson had access to; so
04:20 19 thousands of lines of computer code written for the
04:20 20 brains in here.

04:20 21 And I'd look at the code and find the right
04:20 22 section and try and understand, what is it actually
04:20 23 doing? What is it being told to do?

04:20 24 And then I would talk to the engineers who
04:20 25 designed the software and ask them questions about

04:20 1 anything I was confused with.

04:20 2 Then I'd rinse and repeat. I'd go fly it some
04:20 3 more, look at the code some more, talk to the engineers
04:20 4 some more. And I repeat this until I really understand
04:20 5 how it works.

04:20 6 So it's just combination of flying, reading
04:20 7 really hairy computer code and talking to people. And
04:20 8 you have to do all three.

04:20 9 Q. Is there anything else missing in the claim
04:20 10 from Follow Me?

04:20 11 A. Yes. Second part we can talk about is, you'll
04:21 12 remember there was a whole element in that claim that
04:21 13 said receiving date -- I'm not going to get the
04:21 14 language right, but basically receiving data about
04:21 15 position and about movement of the reference vehicle.

04:21 16 I'm paraphrasing there, but the important part
04:21 17 is, as we talked about before, it has to know both the
04:21 18 position information -- it has to get that -- and it
04:21 19 has to receive the movement data. And you'll remember
04:21 20 it was transmitted. So it was through the air somehow.

04:21 21 And of course, as I just described, what we're
04:21 22 actually doing for Follow Me in the -- in these drones
04:21 23 is using GPS. And GPS is sending position data this
04:21 24 way to the drone.

04:21 25 It's called global positioning for a reason.

04:21 1 There is no movement data being received by the drone
04:22 2 about the reference vehicle. And, yes, to make the
04:22 3 claim work, I'm the reference vehicle. So I'm a ship
04:22 4 or a boat, or a car, or a truck or something.

04:22 5 Q. Now, you were in here earlier. Do you recall
04:22 6 Dr. Michalson stating that the drone can just calculate
04:22 7 the movement data from the position data it receives?

04:22 8 A. I remember that.

04:22 9 Q. Do you agree that that meets Claim 1?

04:22 10 A. I don't agree that it meets Claim 1.

04:22 11 Q. Why?

04:22 12 A. Because -- I'm sorry. Go ahead.

04:22 13 Q. Why is that?

04:22 14 A. I'm glad you asked.

04:22 15 Because he's talking about the fact that you
04:22 16 can use position data over time to compute something
04:22 17 called movement. And that's totally true. You can
04:22 18 compute movement.

04:22 19 But the claim language is what we care about.
04:22 20 Every word matters like everybody's been saying. And
04:22 21 it says in there that there's transmitted data, and
04:22 22 that we're receiving position data and we're receiving
04:22 23 movement data.

04:22 24 And that's just not happening if you're only
04:22 25 receiving position data because otherwise it'll --

04:23 1 element would just say receiving position data, and
04:23 2 then the aircraft can go through the trouble of
04:23 3 figuring out movement from that. And that's not what's
04:23 4 written down.

04:23 5 Q. Well, why don't we pull up Joint Exhibit 2,
04:23 6 and why don't we take another look at Claim 1.

04:23 7 MR. SCHLESINGER: If we could -- yeah.
04:23 8 That's it.

04:23 9 BY MR. SCHLESINGER:

04:23 10 Q. Right there we have the receiver limitation.
04:23 11 So where is this requiring both position and movement
04:23 12 data?

04:23 13 A. That's the text that I'd like you to really
04:23 14 look at carefully because it says there is a receiver.
04:23 15 So we know there's something on the aircraft receiving
04:23 16 something. We know that what it's receiving is
04:23 17 transmitted. So something is wirelessly flowing to the
04:23 18 aircraft.

04:23 19 And it says reference data here, and it
04:23 20 defines what that is. It's something that's
04:23 21 communicating a position and movements, and it's an
04:24 22 "and." It's not an "or." We need both parts.

04:24 23 Q. So why is it that multiple pieces of position
04:24 24 data, why don't those just communicate movement data?

04:24 25 A. Because then you're communicating position

04:24 1 data. You're simply not communicating the movement.

04:24 2 Q. Now, does the claim elsewhere require
04:24 3 calculations?

04:24 4 A. Sure.

04:24 5 Q. And is there any calculation requirement
04:24 6 recited in the receiver limitation?

04:24 7 A. Not in this one.

04:24 8 Q. Do you think sending data that communicates a
04:24 9 position is equivalent to sending data that
04:24 10 communicates both position and movement?

04:24 11 A. Not at all. And we actually heard testimony
04:24 12 from inventors talking about how important it is, like
04:24 13 we talked about before the break.

04:24 14 The movement data lets us do things like not
04:24 15 crash into the ship's surface when we're landing on it.
04:24 16 The movement data tells us, for the claim, what's
04:24 17 actually going on with the thing we're following. Is
04:25 18 it skipping laterally? And that's just not the same as
04:25 19 just knowing the position of the boat in the ocean.

04:25 20 Q. And we talked about the Follow Me uses GPS
04:25 21 data. How accurate is GPS data?

04:25 22 A. GPS data is accurate enough to give you
04:25 23 directions for your car, but I don't know if any of you
04:25 24 drive, for instance, on a frontage road here right
04:25 25 along the highway. And I've done this three or four

04:25 1 times in the last few days. I can be on the highway
04:25 2 and my GPS thinks I'm on the frontage road. I can be
04:25 3 on the frontage road and my GPS thinks I'm on the
04:25 4 highway and tells me to take the next exit.

04:25 5 So 20, 30 feet there, you can be that far off
04:25 6 with GPS. It can bounce around. If you take a series
04:25 7 of GPS readings, they aren't going to necessarily give
04:25 8 you any accuracy about swelling waves or rocking boats.

04:25 9 Q. And were you here when the inventors testified
04:25 10 about why you needed movement data?

04:25 11 A. I was.

04:25 12 Q. And so what's your understanding as far as the
04:26 13 ship -- let me step back and strike that.

04:26 14 Could the inventors have changed the language
04:26 15 and just written communicating a position of a
04:26 16 reference vehicle?

04:26 17 A. Sure.

04:26 18 Q. But that's not what's claimed?

04:26 19 A. That's not what they wrote.

04:26 20 MR. SCHLESINGER: Let's go back to the
04:26 21 slides, please.

22 BY MR. SCHLESINGER:

04:26 23 Q. Is there anything else missing from the claim
04:26 24 in Follow Me?

04:26 25 A. Yes. Another one is in the claim when it tied

04:26 1 it all together and said there's a control system
04:26 2 essentially deciding what to do. It said it's
04:26 3 controlling selected relative velocity.

04:26 4 But like I said earlier, when I was talking
04:26 5 about this one, we're not using relative velocity in
04:26 6 these drones. They're using relative position, and so
04:26 7 we can't be controlling selected relative velocity.

04:26 8 Q. And I believe earlier you said you reviewed
04:26 9 source code; is that right?

04:26 10 A. That's correct.

04:27 11 MR. SCHLESINGER: Your Honor, I think
04:27 12 we're going to get into some confidential material. If
04:27 13 we may seal the courtroom.

04:27 14 THE COURT: Please.

04:27 15 Anyone who's not under the protective
04:27 16 order needs to absent themselves, please.

04:27 17 (Sealed proceedings.)

04:27 18 BY MR. SCHLESINGER:

04:27 19 Q. And, Dr. Nourbakhsh, would you please turn to
04:27 20 Tab DX-743? That's Defendants' Exhibit 743.

04:27 21 A. I'm there.

04:27 22 Q. Do you recognize this document?

04:27 23 A. I do. This is some of the source code that
04:27 24 was supplied to me and Dr. Michalson to evaluate how
04:27 25 the drones work.

04:27 1 Q. Is this all of the source code you reviewed?

04:28 2 A. No. If we had all the source code here, you
04:28 3 wouldn't be able to see my head. It's thousands and
04:28 4 thousands of lines of source code.

04:28 5 Q. Did this source code inform your opinions on
04:28 6 whether or not DJI drones practice Claim 1?

04:28 7 A. Yes.

04:28 8 MR. SCHLESINGER: Defendants -- or DJI
04:28 9 moves to admit Defendants' Exhibit 743.

04:28 10 MR. RICH: No objection.

04:28 11 THE COURT: Admitted.

04:28 12 BY MR. SCHLESINGER:

04:28 13 Q. Now, do you recall Dr. Michalson also
04:28 14 referencing source code for the Follow Me feature?

04:28 15 A. I do remember him. In his slides he had some
04:28 16 source code up on the screen.

04:28 17 Q. Why don't we take a look at that?

04:28 18 MR. SCHLESINGER: Let's put up
04:28 19 Dr. Michalson's Slide 142.

20 BY MR. SCHLESINGER:

04:28 21 Q. Do you recognize this code?

04:28 22 A. I do.

04:28 23 Q. Do you agree with Dr. Michalson's description
04:28 24 of this code?

04:28 25 A. No.

04:28 1 Q. Why is that?

04:29 2 A. Dr. Michalson showed this code. And at the

04:29 3 top of the file it says: [REDACTED]

04:29 4 [REDACTED]

04:29 5 [REDACTED]

04:29 6 [REDACTED]

04:29 7 [REDACTED]

04:29 8 [REDACTED]

04:29 9 That's not how you make a leash. The way you
04:29 10 make a leash is you keep the same distance away from me
04:29 11 at all times.

04:29 12 And he didn't talk about the actual lines. I
04:29 13 thought it would be useful for you to see a few of the
04:29 14 lines.

04:29 15 So for instance here, [REDACTED]

04:29 16 [REDACTED]

04:29 17 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

04:29 18 [REDACTED]

04:29 19 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

04:29 20 And if we look down here, all it's actually
04:29 21 doing here is [REDACTED]

04:29 22 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

04:30 23 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

04:30 24 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

04:30 25 And I think that Dr. Michalson may have

04:30 1 included this code because [REDACTED]

04:30 2 [REDACTED]

04:30 3 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

04:30 4 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

04:30 5 [REDACTED]

04:30 6 [REDACTED]

04:30 7 Q. And so in the first thing you highlighted on
04:30 8 Line 930, [REDACTED] does that refer to -- what does that
04:30 9 refer to?

04:30 10 A. [REDACTED]

04:30 11 [REDACTED]

04:30 12 [REDACTED]

04:30 13 [REDACTED]

04:30 14 Q. And you also mentioned velocity.

04:30 15 What are Lines 937 and 938 describing?

04:30 16 A. This is describing something interesting. [REDACTED]

04:31 17 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

04:31 18 [REDACTED]

04:31 19 [REDACTED]

04:31 20 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

04:31 21 [REDACTED]

04:31 22 [REDACTED]

04:31 23 [REDACTED]

04:31 24 [REDACTED]

04:31 25 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

04:31 1 [REDACTED]

04:31 2 [REDACTED]

04:31 3 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

04:31 4 [REDACTED]

04:31 5 [REDACTED]

04:31 6 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

04:31 7 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

04:32 8 [REDACTED]

04:32 9 [REDACTED]

04:32 10 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

04:32 11 [REDACTED]

04:32 12 [REDACTED]

04:32 13 [REDACTED]

04:32 14 [REDACTED]

04:32 15 [REDACTED]

04:32 16 [REDACTED]

04:32 17 [REDACTED]

04:32 18 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

04:32 19 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

04:32 20 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

04:32 21 [REDACTED]

04:32 22 [REDACTED]

04:32 23 [REDACTED]

04:32 24 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

04:32 25 [REDACTED]

04:32 1

[REDACTED]

04:32 2

Q. And so at the top of the slide Dr. Michalson

04:32 3

stated that the DJI drones with Follow Me include

04:33 4

precoded algorithms that set the drone's velocity to an

04:33 5

estimated velocity of the target.

04:33 6

And do you see anywhere in this code that does

04:33 7

that?

04:33 8

A. No.

04:33 9

Q. And just, if you could indulge me, what is the

04:33 10

control base in DJI's Follow Me?

04:33 11

[REDACTED]

04:33 12

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

04:33 13

[REDACTED]

04:33 14

[REDACTED]

04:33 15

[REDACTED]

04:33 16

[REDACTED]

04:33 17

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

04:33 18

[REDACTED]

04:33 19

Q. How can you be so sure that's how it operates?

04:33 20

A. Because I've used the drone. I've read all

04:33 21

the software. I've studied Dr. Michalson's arguments

04:33 22

and looked at the parts of software that he pointed out

04:33 23

to make sure I understand how they work. And I've

04:33 24

talked to the engineers who wrote it and listened to

04:34 25

them explain to me how it works.

04:34 1 MR. SCHLESINGER: And if we could go back
04:34 2 to the slides, please.

04:34 3 BY MR. SCHLESINGER:

04:34 4 Q. And just to summarize, does the DJI drones
04:34 5 with Follow Me meet the requirement for a selected --
04:34 6 I'm sorry -- for controlling based on selected relative
04:34 7 velocity?

04:34 8 A. No.

04:34 9 MR. SCHLESINGER: And, Your Honor, we can
04:34 10 unseal the Court now for a little bit.

04:34 11 THE COURT: Great. Thank you for letting
04:34 12 me know.

09:42 13 (Sealed proceedings end.)

04:34 14 BY MR. SCHLESINGER:

04:34 15 Q. And what about the final limitation?

04:34 16 A. You'll recall the final limitation was the
04:34 17 idea that there's a selected relative velocity
04:34 18 preprogrammed prior to flight. I think I got close to
04:34 19 the right language there.

04:34 20 And that limitation -- we just talked about
04:34 21 commanded data, and we talked about how it says
04:34 22 selected relative velocity.

04:34 23 The problem is, of course, the DJI drones
04:34 24 don't do relative velocity. They're doing position.
04:34 25 They're doing that leash. So there is no commanded

04:35 1 data and, therefore -- your X looks much better than
04:35 2 mine. I'll take mine away.

04:35 3 Q. And so do DJI drones infringe Claim 1 of the
04:35 4 '909 patent?

04:35 5 A. No. They don't.

04:35 6 Q. Do they literally infringe Claim 1 of the '909
04:35 7 patent?

04:35 8 A. No. They don't.

04:35 9 Q. Do they infringe Claim 1 of the '909 patent
04:35 10 under the doctrine of equivalents?

04:35 11 A. No. They do not.

04:35 12 Q. Do they indirectly infringe Claim 1 of the
04:35 13 '909 patent?

04:35 14 A. No. They don't.

04:35 15 Q. And what does this slide show?

04:35 16 A. This slide is just showing you a review just
04:35 17 so that you have it. On Claim 1, all the sections that
04:35 18 are highlighted in red, those are the sections I've
04:35 19 tried to explain my opinion on why they are not
04:35 20 infringed by these drones.

04:35 21 Q. We've heard Textron's witnesses refer to the
04:36 22 '909 patent as the Follow Me patent or the follow
04:36 23 patent.

04:36 24 So why doesn't DJI's Follow Me feature
04:36 25 infringe what they call the follow patent?

04:36 1 A. Well, I'll say it one more time. Words
04:36 2 matter. They can call the patent whatever they want,
04:36 3 but that doesn't change that what matters is what's in
04:36 4 the claim. It's not about what the patent hopes to do
04:36 5 generally. It's about what's in the claim.

04:36 6 And when you go through the claim line by
04:36 7 line, we find all these sections that the drone doesn't
04:36 8 practice. And that makes sense because we've also
04:36 9 heard a lot of talk about landing on a boat with a big
04:36 10 aircraft. This is just pretty different.

04:36 11 And so it's not very surprising that these
04:36 12 drones don't do what the claim describes.

04:36 13 Q. Why don't we move on to ActiveTrack?

04:36 14 A. Sure. Let's go to the next slide. Thank you.

04:36 15 Q. Can you describe what ActiveTrack is?

04:37 16 A. Yes. This is an entirely other mode. It's
04:37 17 the only other mode that DJI accuses of infringing this
04:37 18 patent. So it's the last one we have to talk about for
04:37 19 this patent -- or this claim.

04:37 20 And what ActiveTrack does is lets you take
04:37 21 anything -- I think we heard about a cocker spaniel
04:37 22 from Dr. Michalson or a boat or a car or a human being
04:37 23 running, and ActiveTrack lets you tell the drone, hey.
04:37 24 You see that? You see that person or being down there?
04:37 25 Follow them, and it follows them.

04:37 1 Q. How do you start ActiveTrack?

04:37 2 A. Let's go to the next slide, and I'll talk you
04:37 3 through it.

04:37 4 I guess we do it on the same slide. We'll
04:37 5 just do it on this slide. How's that?

04:37 6 Q. That works.

04:37 7 A. It's much the same way but with one very
04:37 8 special difference. So to start ActiveTrack, go to the
04:37 9 river, take the drone out, turn it on, send it up into
04:37 10 the sky.

04:37 11 And remember, I'll have my phone up here with
04:38 12 the video image so I can see whatever the camera here
04:38 13 is seeing.

04:38 14 So I send this up in the sky with ActiveTrack,
04:38 15 position it just where I want for that nice view of me
04:38 16 running or maybe it's, you know, my son who's doing
04:38 17 mountain biking. So maybe that's more interesting.

04:38 18 We're going to point it at my son. He really
04:38 19 is a mountain biker so this makes sense.

04:38 20 So we're going to position this with him and
04:38 21 his mountain bike, and now we see him on the screen
04:38 22 here.

04:38 23 So to start ActiveTrack, we're literally going
04:38 24 to, on the screen, on the image, identify him just by
04:38 25 dragging our finger across his image on the screen.

04:38 1 That's how you start ActiveTrack.

04:38 2 Q. And both ActiveTrack and Follow Me, can you
04:38 3 start those while the drone's on the ground?

04:38 4 A. No.

04:38 5 Q. What's required before you start them?

04:38 6 A. They have to be in the sky. They have to be
04:38 7 flying already. You have to have manually taken off
04:38 8 already.

04:38 9 In the case of ActiveTrack, it better be
04:39 10 pointed at the object you want to follow so you can
04:39 11 push with your finger on that object on the screen.

04:39 12 Q. And what's the -- on the slide that's shown
04:39 13 here, what's that green box?

04:39 14 A. When you identify the -- for instance, in this
04:39 15 case, this is Dr. Michalson's slide. So that's
04:39 16 Dr. Michalson. When you drag your finger, for
04:39 17 instance, from the top right -- top left to the bottom
04:39 18 right corner, that box here is identifying where it's
04:39 19 going to look in its vision system for the person that
04:39 20 it's going to be tracking.

04:39 21 Q. And I believe you said that that was
04:39 22 transmitted to the drone.

04:39 23 How many times is that box sent?

04:39 24 A. This is pretty important. It -- when you
04:39 25 start ActiveTrack, this sends to the drone, just one

04:39 1 time, the location of that person, like Dr. Michalson
04:39 2 in the image, just one time. And the location is just
04:39 3 the pixels. It's just the location on the screen, like
04:39 4 3 inches to the right, 2 inches up on the screen.
04:40 5 That's what gets sent.

04:40 6 Q. Dr. Michalson says that ActiveTrack infringes
04:40 7 Claim 1.

04:40 8 Do you agree?

04:40 9 A. No.

04:40 10 Q. What claim limitations are missing from
04:40 11 ActiveTrack?

04:40 12 A. Let's go to the next slide and we can -- of
04:40 13 course, we can go faster because on some of it, it's
04:40 14 exactly the same as before.

04:40 15 The first limitation we talked about last time
04:40 16 for Follow Me was this idea that there's commanded data
04:40 17 that is selected relative velocity. And you'll recall
04:40 18 what I said was, it's using position in Follow Me. So
04:40 19 it can't have relative velocity. This can't exist.

04:40 20 And this is the same story except, of course,
04:40 21 all we're sending up is that image.

04:40 22 Furthermore, just like Follow Me, ActiveTrack
04:40 23 creates a leash. Once it sees that person that it's
04:40 24 going to follow, it does the same thing as Follow Me.
04:40 25 It makes a distance, and it keeps that distance the

04:41 1 same as you move around.

04:41 2 Q. Is there anything else missing from
04:41 3 ActiveTrack?

04:41 4 A. Yes. Go ahead and go forward one slide.

04:41 5 The next thing we talked about last time was
04:41 6 that the claims spell out pretty clearly that you're
04:41 7 receiving position and movement data about the
04:41 8 reference vehicle, which in this case is the dog or
04:41 9 the -- oh, my son on the mountain bike. That's the
04:41 10 reference vehicle.

04:41 11 But the only thing that the aircraft is
04:41 12 receiving is the location of the little pixels in the
04:41 13 image on the controller. That's all. So not only is
04:41 14 it not receiving movement data, just like Follow Me,
04:41 15 it's not receiving position data either.

04:41 16 Q. Now, if it's not receiving position or
04:41 17 movement data, how does it follow somebody?

04:41 18 A. Because of the smarts in here that the company
04:41 19 created. Because what happens is this looks at my son
04:42 20 on the mountain bike and is able to tell where my son
04:42 21 is in the real world from the position of the drone,
04:42 22 the angle of the camera, some basic geometry.

04:42 23 So the aircraft is figuring out the position
04:42 24 of my son, then using that to figure out its distance
04:42 25 to my son, and then it's using that to follow him.

04:42 1 Q. And I think you referred to this, and I
04:42 2 believe you're pointing to the camera; is that right?

04:42 3 A. Yes. I was pointing to the camera on the
04:42 4 drone.

04:42 5 Q. So it's the camera that figures out the
04:42 6 position and movement --

04:42 7 A. Well, the --

04:42 8 Q. -- or --

04:42 9 A. -- the computer vision system in here, the
04:42 10 brains figure that out, but it uses the image from the
04:42 11 camera.

04:42 12 Q. Now, Dr. Michalson says that that is enough to
04:42 13 meet the position and movement requirements of Claim 1.
04:42 14 Do you agree?

04:42 15 A. No.

04:42 16 Q. He also says that that's equivalent if -- even
04:42 17 if it's not literally.

04:42 18 Do you agree with that?

04:42 19 A. No.

04:42 20 Q. Why is -- why is it not equivalent if it's
04:43 21 figuring it out on its own?

04:43 22 A. Because you have to read the words of the
04:43 23 claim. The claim says this transmitted data
04:43 24 communicates position and communicates movement.

04:43 25 When you're sending pixels on a screen, all

04:43 1 the hard work, all the calculation's being done here.

04:43 2 And it's not at all equivalent to say that this is

04:43 3 figuring something out. That's not equal to saying

04:43 4 that it's receiving information.

04:43 5 Q. And just to be clear, it's using the camera to

04:43 6 figure out where the object is it's following?

04:43 7 A. It's using the image from the camera. So it's

04:43 8 not using GPS signals from here at all.

04:43 9 Q. And that image is only sent how many times?

04:43 10 A. One time at the very beginning. So you're

04:43 11 never receiving even -- you know, when you had the

04:43 12 argument about how, well, if you send two or three

04:43 13 positions over time, you can figure out movement. This

04:43 14 doesn't even do that.

04:43 15 ActiveTrack's only sending the image

04:43 16 information one time. So even if this is figuring out

04:44 17 movement data, it couldn't be doing it from successive

04:44 18 position readings, like driving from Fort Worth to

04:44 19 here.

04:44 20 Q. And earlier we talked about what the '909 --

04:44 21 well, let me strike that.

04:44 22 Is there anything else missing from

04:44 23 ActiveTrack?

04:44 24 A. Yes.

04:44 25 Q. What's that?

04:44 1 A. We had another limitation, the big paragraph
04:44 2 limitation, that puts it all together, but, again, that
04:44 3 paragraph limitation said controlling for a selected
04:44 4 relative velocity.

04:44 5 Just like we talked about before, we're not
04:44 6 using velocity. We're using that distance leash. So
04:44 7 this is not met either.

04:44 8 Q. What do you mean by "that distance"?

04:44 9 A. I mean ActiveTrack, just like Follow Me, is
04:44 10 controlling to maintain a set distance from my son.

04:45 11 Q. And how do you know that?

04:45 12 A. Because the code makes it really clear. And I
04:45 13 talked to the engineers that wrote ActiveTrack as well.
04:45 14 And I used it, not with my son on the mountain bike, I
04:45 15 used it with myself.

04:45 16 MR. SCHLESINGER: And, Your Honor, I
04:45 17 think we're going to get back into the confidential.

04:45 18 THE COURT: Okay.

04:45 19 (Sealed proceedings.)

04:45 20 BY MR. SCHLESINGER:

04:45 21 Q. And, again, do you recall Dr. Michalson
04:45 22 referring to source code for this limitation?

04:45 23 A. I do. He had a slide where he put some source
04:45 24 code up.

04:45 25 MR. SCHLESINGER: Why don't we put that

04:45 1 slide up? I believe it's Slide 143 from Dr. Michalson.

2 BY MR. SCHLESINGER:

04:45 3 Q. What is this code describing?

04:46 4 A. I'm going to take a second to remind myself
04:46 5 what this code is describing.

04:46 6 So at the top of the screen Dr. Michalson is
04:46 7 saying that -- or suggesting that this code is setting
04:46 8 the drone's velocity to an estimated velocity.

04:46 9 In fact, what this code is doing is very
04:46 10 different. [REDACTED]

04:46 11 [REDACTED]

04:46 12 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

04:46 13 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

04:46 14 [REDACTED]

04:46 15 [REDACTED]

04:46 16 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

04:46 17 [REDACTED]

04:46 18 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

04:46 19 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

04:46 20 [REDACTED]

04:47 21 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

04:47 22 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

04:47 23 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

04:47 24 Q. Did you review code that did describe how the
04:47 25 ActiveTrack follows the object?

04:47 1 A. Yes.

04:47 2 Q. And if you could take a look at Exhibit 743
04:47 3 that we were looking at a moment ago and turn to
04:47 4 Page 1150?

04:47 5 MR. SCHLESINGER: And if we could bring
04:47 6 that up as well.

04:47 7 A. You can get a flavor for the code now. You're
04:47 8 seeing what it looks like.

04:47 9 BY MR. SCHLESINGER:

04:47 10 Q. What does this code describe?

04:47 11 [REDACTED]
04:47 12 [REDACTED]
04:47 13 [REDACTED]
04:47 14 [REDACTED]
04:47 15 [REDACTED]
04:48 16 [REDACTED]
04:48 17 [REDACTED]
04:48 18 [REDACTED]

04:48 19 Q. And where do you see that?
04:48 20 And if you need us to scroll, just let us
04:48 21 know.

04:48 22 A. Can you zoom out slightly?
04:48 23 Thank you.

04:48 24 Q. And there's a paper copy, if it's easier.

04:48 25 [REDACTED]

04:48

1

04:48

2

04:48

3

04:49

4

04:49

5

04:49

6

04:49

7

04:49

8

04:49

9

04:49

10

04:49

11

04:49

12

04:49

13

04:49

14

04:49

15

04:49

16

04:49

17

04:49

18

04:49

19

04:49

20

04:49

21

04:50

22

04:50

23

04:50

24

04:50

25

Q. What does this tell you about how DJI drones follow an object in ActiveTrack?

A.

MR. SCHLESINGER: And we can go back to the slides.

04:50 1 And we can unseal the court, if you'd
04:50 2 like, Your Honor.

04:50 3 THE COURT: Thank you.

09:42 4 (Sealed proceedings end.)

04:50 5 BY MR. SCHLESINGER:

04:50 6 Q. Is there anything else -- actually let's --
04:50 7 yeah. Is there anything else missing from Claim 1 for
04:50 8 ActiveTrack?

04:50 9 A. Just one more. It's our last island
04:50 10 limitation. You'll recall again that that last
04:50 11 limitation said that there's commanded data
04:50 12 representing selected relative velocity that is
04:50 13 preprogrammed prior to flight. And, again, we don't
04:50 14 have relative velocity. We just described that this is
04:50 15 all position-based.

04:50 16 And so we don't have this -- we're not
04:50 17 practicing this element. The last -- this is the last
04:50 18 line in that claim either.

04:50 19 Q. And can you summarize your opinions for
04:51 20 ActiveTrack and Follow Me on whether they infringe
04:51 21 Claim 1 of the '909 patent?

04:51 22 A. I believe Follow Me and ActiveTrack do not
04:51 23 infringe Claim 1. And I've, on the right side, shown
04:51 24 you in red the parts that they don't infringe. At the
04:51 25 same time, on the left side, I've X'd them out in my

04:51 1 graphic.

04:51 2 And the only exception here is this one.

04:51 3 That's for ActiveTrack. Follow Me does send position.

04:51 4 ActiveTrack doesn't even send position, but that

04:51 5 limitation says you have to send position and movement.

04:51 6 So movement is missing from both of them.

04:51 7 Q. If any one of these limitations are found to
04:51 8 be missing from Follow Me or ActiveTrack, what does
04:51 9 that mean?

04:51 10 A. That means that the drones do not infringe.
04:51 11 Follow Me and ActiveTrack don't infringe this claim.

04:51 12 Q. Now, do you believe following an object using
04:51 13 position is equivalent to following an object using
04:52 14 velocity?

04:52 15 A. No. Not at all.

04:52 16 Q. And do you recall the patent describing
04:52 17 station-keeping?

04:52 18 A. Yes. I do.

04:52 19 Q. Are there multiple ways to do station-keeping?

04:52 20 A. Yes. And we've had people here talk about
04:52 21 that.

04:52 22 Q. What are those ways?

04:52 23 A. Well, people have talked about this idea. We
04:52 24 could -- station-keeping -- by the way, just to remind
04:52 25 us about the word -- is let's say you have a boat

04:52 1 moving along. You have an aircraft that tries to just
04:52 2 go along with the boat. So it doesn't get any closer,
04:52 3 doesn't get any further. It just moves along with the
04:52 4 boat.

04:52 5 And one way of doing station-keeping is to try
04:52 6 and have your velocities match, your speeds match.
04:52 7 Another way of doing station-keeping is to keep your
04:52 8 distance exactly the same, to keep your relative
04:52 9 positions the same. And they're entirely different
04:52 10 ways. They have different advantages and
04:52 11 disadvantages.

04:52 12 Q. Why would one station-keep or hold a
04:52 13 position -- I'm sorry.

04:52 14 Why would one station-keep using relative
04:52 15 velocity?

04:52 16 A. Well, if you have something that's pitching
04:53 17 and rolling significantly, and if you're getting
04:53 18 movement data from it like what the claim suggests and
04:53 19 the patent suggests, you can use that velocity data to
04:53 20 keep kind of following it. That's, like, part of what
04:53 21 the Blue Angels are doing when they're really careful
04:53 22 about formation flight.

04:53 23 Q. If you're following with relative velocity and
04:53 24 you get blown off course, what happens?

04:53 25 A. If you're station-keeping by keeping your

04:53 1 velocities matched and you move a couple inches out,
04:53 2 you're still going to match your velocities because you
04:53 3 care about the general configuration. You're caring
04:53 4 about being in roughly the same place.

04:53 5 You're not being really insistent that you
04:53 6 have the exact same distance. You're trying to keep
04:53 7 the velocities matched. So if they slow down, you slow
04:53 8 down. That doesn't mean you're in the exact same
04:53 9 position. It means you're moving at the same speed.

04:53 10 Q. Why would that be important for an aircraft
04:53 11 that's following or landing on a ship?

04:53 12 A. Because -- and the inventors kind of talked
04:54 13 about this -- as the speeds of the ship change, what
04:54 14 matters even more than your position is whether you're
04:54 15 matching the motions of the ship so that there's no
04:54 16 sudden difference in how fast you're closing.

04:54 17 Because remember, with relative velocity, you
04:54 18 could be telling a ship I want to approach you at 5
04:54 19 miles an hour. If you're doing that and it starts
04:54 20 bucking and you aren't compensating for that, your 5
04:54 21 miles an hour could become 10 miles an hour just for a
04:54 22 moment. And if that happens at the wrong time, you're
04:54 23 done.

04:54 24 Q. Is that how DJI products work?

04:54 25 A. Not at all.

04:54 1 Q. How do they work?

04:54 2 A. We match relative position. So if I know your
04:54 3 GPS position or if I figured out your position, and I
04:54 4 know my position, DJI products are just using that
04:54 5 leash.

04:54 6 You know what it's like? It's like an
04:54 7 old-fashioned ruler that folds out and is rigid and
04:55 8 wooden. That's what it's like. It's a wooden ruler.
04:55 9 That's keeping your distance.

04:55 10 And when somebody moves, we move with them as
04:55 11 if there's just this bar between us. That's
04:55 12 position-based and distance-based. It's not based on
04:55 13 measuring velocity and subtracting the two velocities.

04:55 14 Q. What's generally the purpose of Follow Me and
04:55 15 ActiveTrack?

04:55 16 A. Well, in the DJI case, the position matters
04:55 17 incredibly because the whole point is you're making a
04:55 18 video. So as you move around, you want to have the
04:55 19 exact same distance and you want to have the same video
04:55 20 image in that camera so you make a nice video. If it
04:55 21 drifts gradually because it's just maintaining
04:55 22 velocity, you're going to be very unhappy when you get
04:55 23 finished with your mountain biking ride and you're
04:55 24 barely in the frame at the end. You're just kind of
04:55 25 off on the left side. So you really care about

04:55 1 position for this because you're making a video.

04:55 2 Q. How would the video look if a person's jerking
04:55 3 around and the drone was following using relative
04:56 4 velocity?

04:56 5 A. Well, that would be the kind of video that
04:56 6 makes me dizzy. Because then, as a mountain biker
04:56 7 twists and turns, and this twists and turns really fast
04:56 8 with them, you're just going to get nauseous watching
04:56 9 the video. It's going to look like somebody was
04:56 10 filming it who was hyperactive.

04:56 11 Q. And would that not occur if you're using
04:56 12 relative position?

04:56 13 A. No.

04:56 14 Q. Why not?

04:56 15 A. Because you're just maintaining the same
04:56 16 distance and relative position and, therefore, you're
04:56 17 going to do your best to keep the mountain bike in the
04:56 18 frame. But you're not going to let yourself get too
04:56 19 far away or too close.

04:56 20 Q. Let's turn to Claim 7 which is also asserted
04:56 21 in this case.

04:56 22 What's your opinion with respect to whether
04:56 23 DJI drones with Follow Me or ActiveTrack infringe
04:56 24 Claim 7?

04:56 25 A. I believe these drones with Follow Me and

04:56 1 ActiveTrack do not infringe Claim 7 either.

04:56 2 Q. Is Claim 7 similar to Claim 1 of the '909
04:57 3 patent?

04:57 4 A. We heard this from Dr. Michalson too. It is
04:57 5 very similar, yes.

04:57 6 Q. Can you walk us through -- and we don't have
04:57 7 to rehash everything, of course, but walk us through
04:57 8 the elements as far as why the --

04:57 9 A. Sure. Let's go to the next slide. I kind of
04:57 10 lay it out that way.

04:57 11 Q. I think we're on the right slide.

04:57 12 A. We're on the right slide. You're right, my
04:57 13 bad.

04:57 14 So if we just skip down to the receiver part,
04:57 15 Claim 7 says here that we're receiving transmitted
04:57 16 data, communicating position and movement. The only
04:57 17 difference is it's got this language relative to earth,
04:57 18 and Dr. Michalson called that out too. So it's just
04:57 19 being really specific about that.

04:57 20 But just like before, we aren't sending
04:57 21 movement data ever with ActiveTrack or Follow Me. That
04:58 22 got really busy-looking.

04:58 23 We aren't sending movement data at all. And
04:58 24 the relative to earth part doesn't change my opinion on
04:58 25 that. And we're not sending position data with

04:58 1 ActiveTrack. So that kind of takes care of that
04:58 2 limitation.

04:58 3 Q. And ActiveTrack, when you're selecting that
04:58 4 bounding box, is the pixels -- is that relative to
04:58 5 earth?

04:58 6 A. No. It's relative to the -- your phone. It's
04:58 7 relative to the screen on your phone. So it's not
04:58 8 relative to earth. No matter how you move the
04:58 9 surrounding, it won't change.

04:58 10 Q. What about the control system limitation of
04:58 11 Claim 7? What's your opinion with respect to that?

04:58 12 A. So this is the big paragraph, and it's the
04:58 13 same as it was in Claim 1. If you look at the
04:58 14 differences -- I'll try and identify the differences
04:58 15 real quickly.

04:59 16 In this case, we still need the data received
04:59 17 by the receiver. So that part's still the same. And
04:59 18 of course, we don't have position and movement data
04:59 19 coming in.

04:59 20 I'm going to read the rest: Adapted to
04:59 21 command flight control devices, a selected position
04:59 22 relative to reference vehicle or a selected velocity
04:59 23 relative to the reference vehicle.

04:59 24 Look at Slide 2.41.

04:59 25 And so we, of course, don't have a selected

04:59 1 velocity relative to reference vehicle here.

04:59 2 Q. And I see claim -- the last limitation also
04:59 3 refers to a selected position.

04:59 4 What does it require of that selected
04:59 5 position?

04:59 6 A. Do you mean the wherein clause at the bottom?

05:00 7 Q. Yes.

05:00 8 A. Let me clear the annotation.

05:00 9 So this wherein clause says that: The
05:00 10 selected position and velocity is selected and input
05:00 11 prior to flight.

05:00 12 So again, it's a position and a velocity. And
05:00 13 of course, because our drones aren't using a selected
05:00 14 velocity, they don't satisfy that "and."

05:00 15 Q. And when is it determined what distance the
05:00 16 drone should follow in either Follow Me or ActiveTrack,
05:00 17 at what point?

05:00 18 A. You've taken off, you're in the sky and only
05:00 19 then can you turn them on and figure out the distance,
05:00 20 because the distance depends on where you are and where
05:00 21 the drone is. So it's not prior to flight.

05:00 22 Q. And when you're in the sky, you're flying; is
05:00 23 that right?

05:00 24 A. Right.

05:00 25 Q. So in summary, what -- does the DJI drones

05:00 1 with Follow Me or ActiveTrack literally infringe
05:01 2 Claim 7 of the '909 patent?

05:01 3 A. No.

05:01 4 Q. What about under the equivalents?
05:01 5 Do they meet that?

05:01 6 A. No. They don't.

05:01 7 Q. And why is that not equivalent?

05:01 8 A. For just the same reasons as Claim 1. Because
05:01 9 using relative velocity to track something and move
05:01 10 along with it simply isn't the same as using this fixed
05:01 11 idea of a leash, of a distance. It just doesn't behave
05:01 12 the same way.

05:01 13 Q. Okay. I believe there's other claims at issue
05:01 14 in this case, right?

05:01 15 A. Yes. There are.

05:01 16 Q. Why don't we turn to Claim 10 and 11?

05:01 17 A. This is much easier.

05:01 18 Q. What's your opinion with respect to whether
05:01 19 the DJI drones with ActiveTrack or Follow Me infringe
05:01 20 Claim 10?

05:01 21 A. They don't infringe Claim 10.

05:01 22 Q. And why is that?

05:01 23 A. Claim 10 builds on Claim 7. So it takes
05:01 24 everything you saw in Claim 7 and adds another
05:01 25 requirement that must be satisfied to infringe, which

05:02 1 is, you know, before we had position and movement data
05:02 2 about me, about the boat.

05:02 3 Here, we still have position and movement data
05:02 4 about me or about the boat, the reference vehicle, but
05:02 5 it makes an additional requirement that it's
05:02 6 transmitted from the reference vehicle.

05:02 7 So to meet this, it would have to be
05:02 8 specifically this reference vehicle, me and the remote,
05:02 9 that are sending the position and movement data up.
05:02 10 And so since we don't do that, we don't do it from here
05:02 11 either.

05:02 12 Q. And if DJI drones with Follow Me and
05:02 13 ActiveTrack don't infringe Claim 7, could they ever
05:02 14 infringe Claim 10?

05:02 15 A. No. They automatically can't.

05:02 16 Q. And what about Claim 11? If DJI drones with
05:02 17 ActiveTrack and Follow Me do not infringe Claim 7,
05:02 18 could they ever infringe Claim 11?

05:02 19 A. No. Because it's that type of claim called a
05:02 20 dependent claim.

05:02 21 Q. So in summary, what is your opinion on whether
05:02 22 the DJI drones with Follow Me infringe any of the
05:03 23 asserted claims of the '909 patent?

05:03 24 A. They don't infringe any of these claims.

05:03 25 Q. And we've heard the term "relative inertial

05:03 1 velocity"?

05:03 2 A. Yes.

05:03 3 Q. Is that what the '909 patent is about?

05:03 4 A. Absolutely. Yes.

05:03 5 Q. Is that similar to DJI drones?

05:03 6 A. No. Because they are using distance and
05:03 7 position instead.

05:03 8 Q. And just to confirm, is it your opinion that
05:03 9 the DJI drones with Follow Me and ActiveTrack do not
05:03 10 literally infringe the asserted claims of the '909
05:03 11 patent?

05:03 12 A. Correct.

05:03 13 Q. And what about under the doctrine of
05:03 14 equivalents?

05:03 15 A. They do not infringe under the doctrine of
05:03 16 equivalents either.

05:03 17 Q. And what about indirect infringement?

05:03 18 A. My opinion is they don't infringe even under
05:03 19 indirect infringement.

05:03 20 Q. Why don't we shift gears and move on to the
05:03 21 '752 patent.

05:03 22 Can you describe what the '752 patent is about
05:03 23 generally?

05:03 24 A. Sure. And y'all heard Mr. Christensen talking
05:04 25 about this two days ago. So this will be refresher

05:04 1 kind of.

05:04 2 The '752 patent is really about making --
05:04 3 coming up with ways to make a helicopter safer to fly.
05:04 4 And you want to be able to understand when and how the
05:04 5 person flying the helicopter can depend on the
05:04 6 helicopter to keep the helicopter stable to keep them
05:04 7 out of danger.

05:04 8 Q. What are we showing in this demonstrative?

05:04 9 A. This is the inside of actually Bell -- I'm
05:04 10 pretty sure this is a Bell helicopter. And it's
05:04 11 important to see this, because when you're a helicopter
05:04 12 pilot, you've got all of these controls in your hands
05:04 13 and on your feet actually. And I love doing this.
05:04 14 This is a lot of fun. It's like playing the pipe
05:04 15 organ. You're using all your extremities at the same
05:04 16 time.

05:04 17 But what's going on is this patent is saying,
05:04 18 it can be really hard to fly this thing. Can I
05:04 19 sometimes let go of the controls and have the
05:04 20 helicopter be safe and keep me out of trouble?

05:05 21 Q. What problems were the '752 patent trying to
05:05 22 solve?

05:05 23 A. Let's go to the next slide.

05:05 24 Right off the bat, the patent talks about this
05:05 25 issue of brownout, which is very dangerous. And this

05:05 1 happens because, unlike an airplane that's moving
05:05 2 quickly through the air, the helicopter can be almost
05:05 3 in the same position for a long time, hovering.

05:05 4 And as the rotor spins on the helicopter, it
05:05 5 pushes down wind that can cause dust to come back up,
05:05 6 and that dust can surround the cockpit, and then the
05:05 7 helicopter pilot might not be able to see what's
05:05 8 happening.

05:05 9 This is a really big deal because we use
05:05 10 helicopters already in dangerous circumstances, like
05:05 11 emergency search and rescue. If there's a foggy
05:05 12 highway and there's a crash, like five-vehicle crash,
05:05 13 we need a helicopter there.

05:05 14 And if there's a war and we're bringing
05:05 15 soldiers to the war, trying to get wounded out of the
05:05 16 war, we have to get a helicopter there no matter how
05:05 17 bad the conditions are.

05:06 18 And so this can happen because you simply
05:06 19 can't avoid these situations when you're in a
05:06 20 helicopter.

05:06 21 Q. I saw you gesturing.

05:06 22 MR. SCHLESINGER: May I approach,
05:06 23 Your Honor?

05:06 24 A. Thank you.

05:06 25 BY MR. SCHLESINGER:

05:06 1 Q. What are the consequences of brownout?

05:06 2 A. Let's go to the next slide.

05:06 3 The Christensen patent actually talks about
05:06 4 that, and it's a pretty surprising statistic. But just
05:06 5 brownout, just this issue of not being able to see out
05:06 6 of the helicopter's windscreen, has caused more damage
05:06 7 to helicopters and associated deaths of helicopter
05:06 8 pilots than everything else during the last two wars we
05:06 9 fought in. It's that dangerous and that common.

05:06 10 Q. Now, you keep referring to helicopters.

05:06 11 Could you describe what are the controls of a
05:06 12 helicopter?

05:06 13 I think you alluded to that earlier.

05:06 14 A. Yes. I think that's helpful to understand the
05:06 15 patent.

05:06 16 So there's several controls in the helicopter
05:07 17 we're going to worry about. One interesting thing
05:07 18 already, you'll notice that the helicopter pilot sits
05:07 19 in the right seat. So when I fly an airplane, the
05:07 20 pilot's in the left seat, just like you see a captain
05:07 21 and copilot get on board, like, a Southwest Airlines
05:07 22 flight. But in a helicopter, the pilot sits on the
05:07 23 right side. And I don't know why, but it's been like
05:07 24 that forever.

05:07 25 So the helicopter controls we're going to

05:07 1 start with, there's a cyclic in my right hand, there's
05:07 2 a collective in my left hand that goes up and down like
05:07 3 a parking brake, and then there's two pedals for my two
05:07 4 feet.

05:07 5 And let's just talk about the cyclic first.
05:07 6 You can go ahead and go to the next slide.

05:07 7 That cyclic control can move in any direction,
05:07 8 like this. You can go left, right, forward, backward,
05:07 9 any way you want. When I push it forward, it pitches
05:07 10 forward like that. So that's how I make the helicopter
05:07 11 put some of its thrust back and accelerate and take
05:07 12 off.

05:07 13 If I'm flying fast and I pull back on the
05:07 14 cyclic, it flares like that, puts some of the thrust
05:07 15 forward and slows down gradually. So for landing, I'll
05:07 16 do a whole lot of that, and then gradually add engine
05:08 17 power and come down for a landing.

05:08 18 So that's the cyclic in the forward and
05:08 19 backward direction. That's called longitudinal here,
05:08 20 but it's just forward and backward.

05:08 21 What the helicopter does when you do that is
05:08 22 called pitch. That's the change in the
05:08 23 forward/backward attitude.

05:08 24 Let's go to the next slide.

05:08 25 When I take that same cyclic and I move it

05:08 1 left and right, I'm changing the angles of the blades
05:08 2 as they swing around such that it makes the whole
05:08 3 helicopter roll left and right like this.

05:08 4 And of course, that's how you turn at speed.
05:08 5 If you're going really fast and you go like that,
05:08 6 you'll turn kind of like an airplane, but if you're not
05:08 7 going fast, if you're hovering and you go like that,
05:08 8 the helicopter will go sideways, which airplanes cannot
05:08 9 do and your cars can't either.

05:08 10 So that gives us a special superpower
05:08 11 helicopters have for going sideways. The other
05:08 12 superpower's backwards, of course, when I pull back on
05:08 13 the stick.

05:08 14 Let's go to the next slide.

05:08 15 The pedals. The pedals are interesting in a
05:09 16 helicopter. There's two pedals in the floor. I've got
05:09 17 my feet on them, and they're counterbalanced. If I
05:09 18 push on one, the other one comes up. If I push on the
05:09 19 other one, the first one comes up. So they're always
05:09 20 up and down together.

05:09 21 And those have little push rods going to the
05:09 22 tail rotor. And when you push on those two pedals
05:09 23 alternatively, it changes the angle of the little
05:09 24 blades in the tail rotor. And so it makes it steeper
05:09 25 or shallower.

05:09 1 And what that does is, depending on if you
05:09 2 make it steeper or shallower, if you push on the right
05:09 3 one, it makes the helicopter turn this way. Push on
05:09 4 the left one, it turns this way. So that's how you
05:09 5 change the orientation of the helicopter, which we call
05:09 6 yaw, shown up there.

05:09 7 And let's go to the last slide in this
05:09 8 tutorial.

05:09 9 The collective. That's the parking brake-like
05:09 10 machine in my left hand. It actually has two purposes.
05:09 11 It's got a throttle grip like on a motorcycle, except
05:09 12 it's here in my left hand which is a little different.
05:09 13 And that's how I adjust the engine speed.

05:09 14 And then more important, I can pull up and
05:09 15 push down on the collective. And if I pull up, all the
05:10 16 blades on the helicopter become steeper. So that makes
05:10 17 the helicopter go up. And if I push down, the whole
05:10 18 helicopter goes down.

05:10 19 So you can imagine flying a helicopter is this
05:10 20 dance where you're moving your legs, your left hand and
05:10 21 your right hand constantly. If you're flying an
05:10 22 airplane like I fly, I can let go of the controls, open
05:10 23 up some M&Ms, pop them in my mouth and grab the
05:10 24 controls again and nothing will go wrong. You cannot
05:10 25 touch a bag of M&Ms when you're flying a helicopter.

05:10 1 Everything's busy.

05:10 2 Q. And you mentioned push rods. Are the controls
05:10 3 always linked directly to -- mechanically linked to the
05:10 4 rotors and all the other systems?

05:10 5 A. In old-time helicopters, they are. In ones
05:10 6 that have autopilots, then there's ways often to
05:10 7 have -- and you heard the term before -- fly-by-wire
05:10 8 technology, which means as you move the controls,
05:10 9 there's sensors detecting where the controls are and
05:10 10 sending through wires the signals.

05:11 11 Fly-by-wire means there's a wire; it's not a
05:11 12 remote-control car. It means that you're still in the
05:11 13 helicopter. You're still controlling it with the same
05:11 14 controls, but the controls go through a computer.

05:11 15 In fact, some cars have that now. They have
05:11 16 fly-by-wire brakes and steering wheels in some high-end
05:11 17 cars now, which scares me. I want mechanical linkages.
05:11 18 I want my steering wheel to go straight to the gear box
05:11 19 that turns my wheels.

05:11 20 But there are cars now that have fly --
05:11 21 drive-by-wire it's called. That doesn't mean I'm
05:11 22 remote controlling my car from my living room. That
05:11 23 means I'm in the car, turning the steering wheel, but
05:11 24 there's electronics that decide how to turn the
05:11 25 steering -- the wheels.

05:11 1 Q. Thank you for that.

05:11 2 Why don't we turn to Claim 13?

05:11 3 Can you provide just a general overview of
05:11 4 kind of how this claim's laid out?

05:11 5 A. Sure. And the good news is there's one claim.
05:11 6 So this is a single-claim infringement opinion, which
05:11 7 is nice.

05:11 8 So the first thing I want you to know, now
05:11 9 that we just covered the controls of the helicopter,
05:11 10 I've highlighted these four things here. Because the
05:12 11 architecture of this claim is just broken into four
05:12 12 parts.

05:12 13 One is all about what happens when the
05:12 14 helicopter's moving forward and backward to stay safe.

05:12 15 The second part here, what happens when the
05:12 16 helicopter's going sideways, being told to go sideways.

05:12 17 Third part, what happens when you turn left
05:12 18 and right like this to change orientation.

05:12 19 And the fourth part, what happens when you
05:12 20 pull up and down on the collective in terms of going up
05:12 21 and down.

05:12 22 So the whole claim is really just, like, four
05:12 23 little claims.

05:12 24 Q. And these -- the things you highlighted refer
05:12 25 to loops. What are the relevance of having control

05:12 1 loops?

05:12 2 A. Well, any time you control any kind of
05:12 3 autopilot system in a helicopter, there's these things
05:12 4 called control loops. They're basically the automatic
05:12 5 systems that do what you need done.

05:12 6 So if you have an autopilot on and you tell
05:13 7 the helicopter to hover -- and every helicopter with an
05:13 8 autopilot has had loops and has had autopilot hover for
05:13 9 the last 30 years so this is not new.

05:13 10 But when you tell a helicopter to hover, it's
05:13 11 the control loops that do that for you. The computer
05:13 12 is very quickly deciding how do I turn these blades so
05:13 13 that I don't drift from east to south or to west or
05:13 14 anything like that, and how do I spin these blades so I
05:13 15 don't go out of balance and crash. Loops are just
05:13 16 doing that for you.

05:13 17 Q. Now, does Claim 13 simply require having
05:13 18 control loops?

05:13 19 A. No. Claim 13 is really interesting because
05:13 20 it's not about how you write the loops. It's not about
05:13 21 implementing these loops that are well-known. They've
05:13 22 been in helicopters forever, like I said.

05:13 23 It's about when you turn which loops on and
05:13 24 off. It's about how you should have the helicopter
05:13 25 behave when you push on the controls, when you let go

05:13 1 of the controls. That's what it's about
05:13 2 architecturally.

05:13 3 Q. Before we get into all the loops, they look a
05:13 4 little complex, but can we start with the preamble?

05:14 5 A. Yes. Let's go to the next slide.

05:14 6 So, again, when I'm starting to evaluate the
05:14 7 claim, the first part says: A flight control system
05:14 8 for rotary aircraft. So we know it's a control system.
05:14 9 Fine.

05:14 10 Then it says -- after it says rotary aircraft,
05:14 11 it's defining what kind of rotary aircraft. This is
05:14 12 the same thing as that. So it's saying the rotary
05:14 13 aircraft having these four things: A longitudinal
05:14 14 controller, a lateral controller, a directional
05:14 15 controller, a vertical controller.

05:14 16 So now we know we're talking about things like
05:14 17 helicopters that have those four controllers.

05:14 18 Q. Now, Dr. Michalson states that DJI products
05:14 19 meet this requirement based on the remote control.

05:14 20 Do you agree?

05:14 21 A. No. I do not.

05:14 22 Q. Why not?

05:14 23 A. Because you got to -- we got to look at the
05:14 24 words again, right? And I remember at one point on
05:14 25 cross-examination he was asked to hold up the aircraft

05:15 1 and he held this up. This is the aircraft. This is a
05:15 2 rotary aircraft.

05:15 3 So this rotary aircraft here needs to have
05:15 4 these four controllers, and we know the four
05:15 5 controllers are these things. They're the controls.

05:15 6 And this doesn't have them. It's not
05:15 7 fly-by-wire, and it's not something that has
05:15 8 controllers that a very small person can sit inside and
05:15 9 control. So, therefore, DJI aircraft doesn't meet this
05:15 10 preamble, in my opinion.

05:15 11 Q. And I believe you showed a picture of aircraft
05:15 12 earlier; is that right?

05:15 13 A. Yes.

05:15 14 Q. Can you show us again where are the
05:15 15 controllers in that aircraft?

05:15 16 A. Absolutely. You can see them here. There's
05:15 17 the cyclic, there's the collective. You can see just
05:15 18 the hint of one of the pedals here. There's another
05:15 19 cyclic.

05:15 20 Q. And what's the picture on the right showing?

05:15 21 A. The picture on the right is me by the river
05:16 22 here flying a DJI drone. The drone is the aircraft.
05:16 23 It's here. The controls that I'm using, if you want to
05:16 24 think of controllers that way, are right here at my
05:16 25 fingertips.

05:16 1 Q. Now, Dr. Michalson stated that you're
05:16 2 inserting the word "manned" into this limitation.

05:16 3 Do you agree?

05:16 4 A. No. I'm not inserting the word "manned"
05:16 5 anywhere into here. I'm simply reading the preamble
05:16 6 and interpreting it as an expert. Because I know what
05:16 7 the controllers are and I know what a rotary aircraft
05:16 8 is, and I can read.

05:16 9 Q. You would agree the claim doesn't say
05:16 10 "manned," right?

05:16 11 A. Correct.

05:16 12 Q. What word is it that requires the aircraft to
05:16 13 have the controllers?

05:16 14 A. This section.

05:16 15 Q. Now, Dr. Michalson also states that this
05:17 16 limitation, if it's not literally met, it's met under
05:17 17 the doctrine of equivalents.

05:17 18 Do you agree?

05:17 19 A. I do not.

05:17 20 Q. Why not?

05:17 21 A. Let's go to the next slide.

05:17 22 What Dr. Michalson's suggesting is, well, it
05:17 23 doesn't matter if the controllers are inside the
05:17 24 aircraft or if I'm standing out here controlling the
05:17 25 helicopter from afar. It just doesn't matter. It's

05:17 1 the same thing.

05:17 2 And I strongly disagree, and there's a whole
05:17 3 bunch of reasons for that. First of all, if we go back
05:17 4 to this whole idea of brownout, brownout is a problem
05:17 5 because when all of this dust whips up, the pilot can't
05:17 6 see what they're doing.

05:17 7 We don't have a brownout issue in drones. I'm
05:17 8 standing here. The drone is somewhere else. I can see
05:17 9 the drone, and I fly the drone.

05:17 10 Furthermore, brownout is an issue because we
05:17 11 need good inventions to keep pilots safe even in bad
05:17 12 conditions like that. But with drones, I don't fly in
05:17 13 bad conditions. I don't do emergency rescue work in
05:18 14 fog on the highway to pick up a patient. That's just
05:18 15 not what you use them for.

05:18 16 Third of all, when you are talking about
05:18 17 having controls, right, the rotary aircraft having
05:18 18 controls, the controls control the rotary aircraft in
05:18 19 its frame -- in its framework. The cyclic goes
05:18 20 forward, the helicopter goes forward.

05:18 21 When I'm controlling a drone, the drone's up
05:18 22 here. I'm somewhere else. If I have the controls,
05:18 23 they don't behave the same way at all. I can have the
05:18 24 drone pointed at me and I'm thinking about turning
05:18 25 left. I can get all confused about whether it's my

05:18 1 left or the drone's left.

05:18 2 You don't have that problem in a helicopter
05:18 3 because you are one and the same. The controls are in
05:18 4 the helicopter. They're had by the helicopter.

05:18 5 So those are all reasons why controlling a
05:18 6 drone that way is completely different.

05:18 7 And last but not least, the idea of remote
05:18 8 control, it's a great toy. It's very useful to control
05:18 9 something. There's some delays, there's some lags. It
05:18 10 is nothing like the real-time controls you have in a
05:19 11 real helicopter, whether it's fly-by-wire or mechanical
05:19 12 linkages, where when you move that control, you have
05:19 13 instant responses in this thing that you're surrounded
05:19 14 by is responding to you.

05:19 15 Q. Why can't the fly-by-wire just be
05:19 16 fly-by-wireless?

05:19 17 A. Fly-by-wire is a term of art. We know what it
05:19 18 means in the trade of helicopter and airplane design.
05:19 19 And it's really important that the digital electronics
05:19 20 are carrying the signal at the speed of light, exactly
05:19 21 what you want is getting to that motor.

05:19 22 When they started doing drive-by-wire, they
05:19 23 had to be super careful that it's a careful redundant
05:19 24 system that will never fail. Because if that fails,
05:19 25 lives will be lost. Here we're talking about a simple

05:19 1 remote control. If there's some interference near an
05:19 2 AM radio antenna somewhere and it doesn't work, the
05:19 3 drone falls down and crashes. Nobody dies.

05:19 4 The safety requirements you have for
05:19 5 fly-by-wire and for mechanical linkages have nothing to
05:20 6 do with the safety requirement here.

05:20 7 Q. Are drones designed to be flown in brownout or
05:20 8 fog or other similar conditions?

05:20 9 A. They're not even designed for that, no.

05:20 10 Q. How do you know that?

05:20 11 A. Well, we can look at the user's manual. The
05:20 12 Mavic and Phantom user manuals actually say do not use
05:20 13 in snow, rain and fog. Do not fly on rainy days and
05:20 14 smoggy days or if there's no line of sight.

05:20 15 And what's more, the Federal Aviation
05:20 16 Administration, the FAA regulations, prohibit me from
05:20 17 flying this if I can't see it. I have a drone
05:20 18 operator's license. I'm not allowed to fly this if
05:20 19 there's fog and rain and I can't see it. I'm not even
05:20 20 allowed to fly it if it gets far enough away that I
05:20 21 can't make out what it's doing. That's illegal.

05:20 22 So all those instrument conditions in which we
05:20 23 fly airplanes and helicopters, you can't even touch
05:20 24 your drone in those situations.

05:21 25 Q. And just to be clear for the record, when you

05:21 1 say "this," are you referring to the drone?

05:21 2 A. Yes.

05:21 3 Q. And that top quote, is that from Defendants'

05:21 4 Exhibit 444 that's in your binder?

05:21 5 A. Yes.

05:21 6 MR. SCHLESINGER: DJI moves to admit

05:21 7 Exhibit 444.

05:21 8 MR. RICH: No objection.

05:21 9 THE COURT: Admitted.

05:21 10 BY MR. SCHLESINGER:

05:21 11 Q. And then the bottom quote, is that from

05:21 12 Defendants' Exhibit 455?

05:21 13 A. Yes.

05:21 14 MR. SCHLESINGER: DJI moves to admit

05:21 15 Exhibit -- Defendants' Exhibit 455.

05:21 16 MR. RICH: No objection.

05:21 17 THE COURT: Admitted.

05:21 18 BY MR. SCHLESINGER:

05:21 19 Q. Let's return back to Claim 13.

05:21 20 It looks like on this slide you have a few
05:21 21 different highlights.

05:21 22 Could you explain those, please?

05:21 23 A. Yes. I wanted you all to know what this
05:21 24 detent language is all about for your deliberations
05:21 25 too. I've highlighted in yellow and green.

05:21 1 Architecturally, we talked about how this
05:21 2 claim is really, like, four mini-claims after the first
05:22 3 part we just talked about that I've struck out:
05:22 4 Left/right, forward/backward, up/down and turning.

05:22 5 But there's another way this kind of divides
05:22 6 things up. Because remember, it's all about when you
05:22 7 turn autopilot on and off. That's what the whole claim
05:22 8 is about, is when should you turn autopilot on, when
05:22 9 should you turn it off and how.

05:22 10 So it says return to detent, out of detent,
05:22 11 return to detent, out of detent. What it's saying is
05:22 12 every time it says return to detent, it's saying when
05:22 13 the pilot lets go of the controls.

05:22 14 So it describes what should happen if the
05:22 15 pilot just lets go of the controls, how should the
05:22 16 helicopter stay safe?

05:22 17 And then in all the blue cases, out of detent,
05:22 18 it's saying what should happen when the pilot takes the
05:22 19 controls and moves them.

05:22 20 So the whole claim is kind of built up around
05:22 21 that. What should happen when you let go? What should
05:22 22 happen when you take back over manually and do
05:22 23 something.

05:22 24 Q. Why don't we focus in on the first loop?

05:23 25 A. Sure. Let's go to the next slide for that,

05:23 1 please.

05:23 2 Q. That's the longitudinal loop design, correct?

05:23 3 A. Yes.

05:23 4 So we'll just kind of talk through that. And
05:23 5 remember, there's two versions of this.

05:23 6 First of all, longitudinal loop. We're
05:23 7 talking about this, going forward and backward in a
05:23 8 helicopter, and we're talking about the cyclic stick.
05:23 9 You're pushing forward and backward. Forward is
05:23 10 forward, backward is backward. That's the case we're
05:23 11 talking about.

05:23 12 So the two things here are going to be what
05:23 13 happens when you push on the stick and what happens
05:23 14 when you let go of the stick, just in the
05:23 15 forward/backward direction.

05:23 16 Q. And which two things are you referring to
05:23 17 just --

05:23 18 A. This one and this one.

05:23 19 Q. So the first wherein and the second wherein
05:23 20 clause of the longitudinal loop design?

05:23 21 A. And let's start with this one, this second
05:23 22 one, which is the second wherein clause that's
05:23 23 highlighted right now.

05:23 24 So here, we're going to say is: When I push
05:23 25 on the helicopter stick, what happens?

05:23 1 That's what this limitation's going to explain
05:23 2 to us. So let's look at it in detail.

05:23 3 Clear annotation. So we're looking at this
05:24 4 one and only this one to start.

05:24 5 So it's saying here when the controller is out
05:24 6 of the detent, that means when I push on the stick, and
05:24 7 it says what should happen is the longitudinal
05:24 8 maneuverability of the rotary aircraft is controlled by
05:24 9 either pitch attitude loop or pitch rate loop.

05:24 10 So it's a recipe, and it's saying one of two
05:24 11 things has to happen to meet this limitation. When you
05:24 12 push on that stick, the helicopter should either be
05:24 13 controlled by pitch attitude loop or be controlled by
05:24 14 pitch rate loop.

05:24 15 So now I have to explain to you what those two
05:24 16 phrases mean, "pitch attitude loop" and "pitch rate
05:24 17 loop," so you can decide if that applies to the drone
05:24 18 or not.

05:24 19 Let's go to the next slide.

05:24 20 So this is the last tutorial, I promise, I
05:24 21 think.

05:24 22 So now we have to figure out what is pitch
05:25 23 attitude and what is pitch rate. And again, I have to
05:25 24 have a model because it's so much easier to describe it
05:25 25 with this model.

05:25 1 In a helicopter, one of the things that can
05:25 2 happen is you push forward on that stick and you're
05:25 3 controlling attitude or pitch attitude.

05:25 4 What that means is every inch I push the stick
05:25 5 further forward, it changes the helicopter in this kind
05:25 6 of special autopilot mode to be, let's say, 10 degrees
05:25 7 more pitched forward. And if I hold the stick forward
05:25 8 an inch, it's going to stay at 10 degrees.

05:25 9 If I now push it forward 2 inches, it's going
05:25 10 to go 20 degrees. It's just going to stay at
05:25 11 20 degrees. It's rock solid. Come back an inch, it's
05:25 12 going to go back to 10 degrees. That's pitch attitude.

05:25 13 So if I hold that stick steady, the pitch of
05:25 14 the helicopter's not going to change at all. It's
05:25 15 going to stay the same. That's Option No. 1.

05:25 16 Option No. 2 is pitch rate loop. Pitch rate
05:25 17 loop says, when I push that stick forward an inch, it's
05:25 18 going to start changing its angle at some rate like
05:26 19 this. I better not hold it there very long, because if
05:26 20 I hold it there longer than a few seconds, I'm done.
05:26 21 I'm finished flying helicopters.

05:26 22 If I push it forward 2 inches, it's going to
05:26 23 be twice as fast. So I better hold it there even less
05:26 24 time.

05:26 25 So pitch rate is very touchy controls, right?

05:26 1 You push it, you better not hold it there very long at
05:26 2 all, because it's going to just go faster and faster
05:26 3 and faster.

05:26 4 So pitch attitude is a fixed angle; pitch rate
05:26 5 is something else altogether. It's a fixed speed of
05:26 6 change.

05:26 7 Q. Why would a helicopter have a pitch rate
05:26 8 control?

05:26 9 A. It's not very frequently used, but the reason
05:26 10 you use that kind of control is -- in an autopilot is
05:26 11 in the military helicopter. If you want to take fast
05:26 12 evasive action, this is going to be the fastest way to
05:26 13 get out of trouble.

05:26 14 So if you're low and you're doing some
05:26 15 emergency maneuvers and somebody shoots a missile at
05:26 16 you or something, you pull on that at pitch rate, it is
05:27 17 going to very, very quickly go up, because it's going
05:27 18 to change its attitude really rapidly.

05:27 19 Q. And the DJI drones are accused of practicing
05:27 20 Claim 13, right?

05:27 21 A. They are.

05:27 22 Q. How are DJI drones controlled?

05:27 23 A. Neither one of these. So let's put the
05:27 24 helicopter aside for a second and bring up the drone.

05:27 25 And the DJI drone, in the accused modes that

05:27 1 Textron's been talking about, this right stick, as
05:27 2 Dr. Michalson showed you, when you push forward on it,
05:27 3 it makes the helicopter go forward. You push back,
05:27 4 makes the helicopter go backward.

05:27 5 Q. You're referring to helicopter. You mean --

05:27 6 A. I'm so sorry. When you push forward on this
05:27 7 stick, it makes the drone go forward. When you pull
05:27 8 back, it makes the drone go backward. I thought
05:27 9 switching machines might get my words right.

05:27 10 And the interesting thing is in the DJI
05:28 11 system, to make it easy to fly, the more I push this
05:28 12 stick forward, the faster it goes. I'm not controlling
05:28 13 pitch attitude, and I'm not controlling pitch rate.
05:28 14 What I'm controlling is the speed of the drone.

05:28 15 So if I push this forward an inch and hold it
05:28 16 there, the helicopter -- the drone will travel at a
05:28 17 certain speed. If I push this stick forward further
05:28 18 and hold it there, it'll just travel faster. If I pull
05:28 19 back the stick, it'll just slow down.

05:28 20 So what I'm doing is I'm controlling speed.
05:28 21 I'm not controlling pitch rate, and I'm not controlling
05:28 22 pitch attitude.

05:28 23 Q. And the stick you're referring to, which stick
05:28 24 on the remote control?

05:28 25 A. That was the right stick. The one that I'm

05:28 1 pushing forward and backward on the remote control.

05:28 2 Q. And how do you know that that's what -- how
05:28 3 DJI drones are controlled in the accused modes?

05:28 4 A. Because I can physically test it. I can read
05:28 5 the software, and I can talk to the engineers. But I
05:28 6 think the most fun thing is to show you how we
05:29 7 physically test it.

05:29 8 Q. How do you physically test it?

05:29 9 A. Well, this is interesting. So how can you
05:29 10 figure out how the drone's actually working? And the
05:29 11 nice thing is you can tell in the software, but it's --
05:29 12 I'm from the Show Me state, Missouri. So it's nice to
05:29 13 actually test it yourself and show it to yourself.

05:29 14 So what I did is I -- and this is just an
05:29 15 example here, but of course I did this back home in
05:29 16 Pittsburgh too. Let me clear my annotations.

05:29 17 So I take off, fly the drone up in the sky.
05:29 18 And then with one hand I push forward on the stick and
05:29 19 hold it in the set position. So the drone starts
05:29 20 moving forward like this, right? So it's happy. It's
05:29 21 moving forward. I'm happy.

05:29 22 But then what I do is as it's moving forward
05:29 23 and I'm holding the stick in the same position, I reach
05:29 24 up and I do something kind of mean. I stop it. I put
05:29 25 my finger up and I don't let it go.

05:29 1 Now if I was controlling pitch attitude, like
05:30 2 DJI's accusing in that case, what would happen? It
05:30 3 would just hold that attitude. It would just stop and
05:30 4 stay there.

05:30 5 If I was controlling pitch rate, it would have
05:30 6 broken by now. It would be a crash because it would
05:30 7 have gone like that and hit the ground. So that's not
05:30 8 it.

05:30 9 So instead what happens is when I hold it, it
05:30 10 gets angry at me, increases its pitch and pushes hard
05:30 11 against my finger to keep going at the same speed,
05:30 12 which proves that it's trying to maintain the same
05:30 13 speed. It doesn't care about its attitude one bit. It
05:30 14 is using whatever it can, any means necessary, to
05:30 15 maintain the same speed because I'm giving it the same
05:30 16 command.

05:30 17 I have a little video of that in the next one.
05:30 18 Go ahead and play that, please.

05:30 19 (Video played.)

05:30 20 A. And maybe we can play that one more time. And
05:30 21 you can see the attitude of the drone change when it
05:30 22 gets to my finger. It almost doubles. And then as
05:30 23 soon as my finger's gone, it reduces the attitude.

05:31 24 All that time I was holding the stick in the
05:31 25 exact same position. So what I'm commanding is speed.

05:31 1 I'm not commanding the two things that DJI is accusing
05:31 2 me of commanding in that situation.

05:31 3 BY MR. SCHLESINGER:

05:31 4 Q. Now, there's other requirements of the
05:31 5 longitudinal loop design as well; is that right?

05:31 6 A. Correct.

05:31 7 Q. Can you describe those?

05:31 8 A. Sure. This is the last part for
05:31 9 forward/backward. So the second part of longitudinal
05:31 10 loop design, we just covered what happens when you push
05:31 11 forward on the stick.

05:31 12 Next part is, is kind of the real autopilot
05:31 13 part which is what happens when you let go of the
05:31 14 stick.

05:31 15 And what the claim says, because remember, in
05:31 16 this claim, the imagined situation is you are pushing
05:31 17 forward on your helicopter. You are in pitch attitude
05:31 18 or pitch rate loop because that's what the part we just
05:31 19 talked about said.

05:31 20 Now you let go. So when you let go, it says
05:32 21 the forward speed hold loop automatically engages. And
05:32 22 Dr. Christensen talked about this. He didn't want you
05:32 23 to have to push a button to engage it. He wanted it to
05:32 24 be automatic so that if a helicopter pilot panics and
05:32 25 lets go, the right thing happens. That's why it says

05:32 1 automatically engages. He really wanted it to be
05:32 2 automatic.

05:32 3 So it says: The forward speed hold loop
05:32 4 automatically engages when you're in the detent --
05:32 5 that's when you let go -- when the groundspeed is
05:32 6 outside the groundspeed threshold. So when you're
05:32 7 going fast.

05:32 8 So to sum that up, this part of the claim is
05:32 9 saying helicopter's going real fast, maybe you're
05:32 10 controlling it, right? And you're controlling it with
05:32 11 pitch attitude, let's say, because pitch rate's pretty
05:32 12 dangerous.

05:32 13 You let go. What needs to happen is it stops
05:32 14 being controlled by pitch attitude and switches over to
05:32 15 speed hold automatically engaging.

05:32 16 Q. How do the DJI drones -- what happens with the
05:32 17 DJI drones when you let go of the stick?

05:33 18 A. Nothing. Because the DJI drone was already
05:33 19 using speed hold. It was moving exactly at the speed
05:33 20 that I am showing on the joystick. When I let go like
05:33 21 that, I'm just commanding it to move a speed of zero.
05:33 22 It's still in speed mode just like it was before I did
05:33 23 that.

05:33 24 So nothing has to get automatically engaged.
05:33 25 We're not overcoming any buttons. So it just keeps

05:33 1 going and slows down because now I'm giving it a speed
05:33 2 of zero.

05:33 3 Q. What happens when it reaches a stopping point?

05:33 4 A. That's somewhere where we have a difference of
05:33 5 opinion with Textron. That's important to point out.
05:33 6 When the DJI drone is flying -- and they showed you
05:33 7 videos of this repeatedly -- when I let go, it slows
05:33 8 down and stops. You saw that in the videos. And
05:33 9 that's a great safety feature.

05:33 10 When it does that, the DJI drone actually
05:34 11 holds its position. It grabs hold of its location and
05:34 12 it holds its position in space. And that means that at
05:34 13 that moment, it's not even doing velocity control or
05:34 14 speed hold loop or anything like that. It's just
05:34 15 holding its position over the ground.

05:34 16 Q. How do you know that?

05:34 17 A. I know that, again, through testing, through
05:34 18 reading the code, talking to the engineers and going
05:34 19 back and forth. But I think the most interesting way
05:34 20 that you can know that is through testing.

05:34 21 Because if you think about it, if you let go
05:34 22 of the controls and this is just sitting there and it's
05:34 23 doing a speed hold loop, if it's holding a speed of
05:34 24 zero, if I move it a little bit, it'll keep doing a
05:34 25 speed hold loop. I can move it some more. It'll just

05:34 1 let me move it, and it'll stay there. It'll keep
05:34 2 having a speed of zero.

05:34 3 But if it's doing a position hold, what will
05:34 4 happen if I move it away? It's going to get angry and
05:34 5 go back. That's right. It's going to get really upset
05:35 6 with me because it's trying to stay in a particular
05:35 7 place.

05:35 8 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, it's a big
05:35 9 request, but it will only take about 60 seconds. Can I
05:35 10 turn it on over there and actually show that to the
05:35 11 jury?

05:35 12 MR. RICH: I'm not sure if it has a
05:35 13 camera on it or -- no objection if it's okay with
05:35 14 Your Honor.

05:35 15 THE COURT: I have no problem with it.

05:35 16 THE WITNESS: Field trip. This is super
05:35 17 fast so I promise it won't be long.

05:35 18 So I am going to go ahead and just turn
05:35 19 it on first on the ground. And turning it on is very
05:36 20 unintuitive. You have to double click the button and
05:36 21 that turns it on. And now I turned on the controller
05:36 22 and the transmitter.

05:36 23 So the next thing that I do is just go
05:36 24 ahead and take off to a hover. So now we're going to
05:36 25 go hover. So it's hovering. And what I'm saying is

05:36 1 it's actually holding a position.

05:36 2 And of course we heard repeatedly from
05:36 3 others who said no, no. It's just holding a velocity
05:36 4 of zero. And that troubles me because I can show you
05:36 5 so easily that it's holding a position.

05:36 6 So I'm just going to move it away. It
05:36 7 goes right back. Can you hear how angry it gets at me?
05:36 8 It's like a very angry bumble bee.

05:37 9 So I'll land it again.

05:37 10 Thank you, sir.

05:37 11 That kind of just testing is a really
05:37 12 important way to understand how a system like this
05:37 13 actually operates, and what that shows us is it is not
05:37 14 holding the speed of zero. Quite the contrary. It's
05:37 15 actually taking its time and speeding up to get back to
05:37 16 the original position, which means it's doing position
05:37 17 hold.

05:37 18 BY MR. SCHLESINGER:

05:37 19 Q. If it was holding a speed of zero, what would
05:37 20 have happened during your test?

05:37 21 A. It would have just slid in the sky and then
05:38 22 when I let go, it would hold a speed of zero.

05:38 23 Q. Now, did you review any source code?

05:38 24 A. Yes.

05:38 25 MR. SCHLESINGER: Your Honor, if we may

05:38 1 seal the Court again.

05:38 2 THE COURT: Sure.

05:38 3 (Sealed proceedings.)

05:38 4 BY MR. SCHLESINGER:

05:38 5 Q. And if you could again turn to Defendants'
05:38 6 Exhibit 743.

05:38 7 MR. SCHLESINGER: If we could put it up
05:38 8 on the screen, please.

05:38 9 A. I've got it.

05:38 10 BY MR. SCHLESINGER:

05:38 11 Q. What is this code?

05:38 12 A. This is some of the software for the DJI
05:38 13 drones.

05:38 14 Q. If we could go to Pages 1094 to 1095.
05:39 15 What is this code showing?

05:39 16 A. [REDACTED]

05:39 17 [REDACTED]

05:39 18 [REDACTED]

05:39 19 [REDACTED]

05:39 20 [REDACTED]

05:39 21 [REDACTED]

05:39 22 Q. Is this the same code that Dr. Michalson
05:39 23 referred to yesterday?

05:39 24 A. It is.

05:39 25 MR. SCHLESINGER: Could we put up

05:39 1 Dr. Michalson's Slide 31, please?

05:39 2 BY MR. SCHLESINGER:

05:39 3 Q. And just to confirm, is this the same code
05:39 4 that you were just referring to?

05:39 5 A. Yes. It is.

05:39 6 Q. When the drones are holding a position, are
05:40 7 they -- the DJI drones, are they holding a forward
05:40 8 speed of zero?

05:40 9 A. No.

05:40 10 Q. How do you know that?

05:40 11 A. Because this is the sort of chapter of the
05:40 12 code for when it's holding its position, [REDACTED]

05:40 13 [REDACTED] I know that sounds redundant. By now
05:40 14 you know that there aren't very creative words used to
05:40 15 describe code.

05:40 16 Now I'm going to find the place in this code
05:40 17 where it actually calls it -- here it is. [REDACTED]

05:40 18 [REDACTED]

05:40 19 [REDACTED]

05:40 20 [REDACTED] So it's clearly
05:40 21 not setting a speed of zero. It's telling the drone
05:40 22 stay put, capture your position, and no matter what
05:40 23 happens, come back to this position.

05:40 24 MR. SCHLESINGER: Could we pull up
05:40 25 Claim 13 of the '752 patent?

05:41 1 Can you please zoom in on the first two
05:41 2 loop -- actually the beginning down to right before
05:41 3 directional loop design, please?

05:41 4 BY MR. SCHLESINGER:

05:41 5 Q. Dr. Nourbakhsh, can you summarize why you
05:41 6 believe the DJI drones do not infringe Claim 13?

05:41 7 A. Yes. Because this section here.

05:41 8 Q. Which section are you referring to?

05:41 9 A. I'm sorry. The first wherein clause of
05:41 10 longitudinal loop design says that you need to
05:41 11 automatically engage forward speed hold, but we don't
05:41 12 need to automatically engage it at all because it's
05:42 13 already running. So it can't be engaged.

05:42 14 The second wherein clause says that when you
05:42 15 push on the controller, the aircraft needs to be
05:42 16 controlled by either pitch attitude or pitch rate, but
05:42 17 we aren't controlled by either one. We're controlled
05:42 18 by speed.

05:42 19 And at the very top, it says rotary aircraft
05:42 20 where the rotary aircraft has these controllers. DJI
05:42 21 drones do not have these controllers.

05:42 22 And then we didn't talk about it, but it's
05:42 23 really the same thing for lateral loop design,
05:42 24 specifically for the case of automatically engaged
05:42 25 here. There is no lateral speed hold automatically

05:42 1 engaged in the sideways direction for the same reason.
05:42 2 It's already running. It's constantly doing speed.

05:42 3 Q. Now, what is your opinion with respect to the
05:43 4 doctrine of equivalents for Claim 13?

05:43 5 A. I believe that we don't infringe under
05:43 6 doctrine of equivalents either.

05:43 7 Q. And what is your opinion with respect to
05:43 8 indirect infringement for Claim 13?

05:43 9 A. I don't believe that these drones infringe
05:43 10 based on indirect infringement either.

05:43 11 Q. And how certain are you of your opinion?

05:43 12 A. I'm completely confident.

05:43 13 Q. How can you be so certain?

05:43 14 A. Well, it's the same thing I said. I've tested
05:43 15 all the drones, really paid attention to the words in
05:43 16 the claims, talked to the engineers who wrote the
05:43 17 source code to understand it, and also looked at the
05:43 18 source code just like I showed you today.

05:43 19 Q. Now, were you in the courtroom when
05:43 20 Dr. Michalson stated there wasn't enough code for him
05:43 21 to determine how the products worked completely?

05:43 22 A. I was in the courtroom.

05:43 23 Q. Was there enough source code for you to
05:43 24 determine your opinions?

05:43 25 A. Yes.

05:43 1 Q. How can you be so certain, in light of what
05:43 2 Dr. Michalson said?

05:43 3 A. Well, I heard Dr. Michalson, and of course I
05:43 4 disagree with him in this case because of my limited
05:44 5 experience of using the source code, but source code
05:44 6 comes at many different levels. We've talked about
05:44 7 source code that decides how to spin up and down
05:44 8 motors.

05:44 9 The source code that determines how you send
05:44 10 electricity to an individual motor to spin it is
05:44 11 important, sure. It's essential to this thing flying.
05:44 12 If you didn't have that source in general, it would not
05:44 13 fly. It would be a paperweight.

05:44 14 So there's lots of pieces of source code that
05:44 15 are important, essential, valuable. The question is,
05:44 16 are they at all relevant to understanding this claim,
05:44 17 and they aren't.

05:44 18 The claim is all about what happens with the
05:44 19 autopilot when you push on the stick and let go of the
05:44 20 stick. It's not about how you spin the motor. It's
05:44 21 not about exactly how you hover. It's about when you
05:44 22 turn hovering on and off. It's about when you're in
05:44 23 speed hold and when you're not in speed hold. That's
05:44 24 all. It's a bunch of "when" statements.

05:44 25 And so I know that I saw the source code to

05:44 1 answer the question when is speed hold on? When is
05:44 2 speed hold off? When is position held? What happens
05:44 3 when I push the stick? What happens when I let go of
05:45 4 the stick?

05:45 5 That's the source code that I had access to
05:45 6 and of course Textron had access to. I had access to
05:45 7 the same source code.

05:45 8 I didn't have access to how the rotors spin,
05:45 9 and I don't need it. And I'm not interested in that
05:45 10 because it has nothing to do with understanding
05:45 11 infringement of this claim.

05:45 12 Q. How can you be so certain if you didn't see
05:45 13 that source code?

05:45 14 A. Because everything in this source code gives
05:45 15 me a complete picture to test all the elements in the
05:45 16 claim, and that's all I need.

05:45 17 Q. And how did your testing compare to the source
05:45 18 code that you did review?

05:45 19 A. My testing matched the source code, and that's
05:45 20 important. When you talk to the engineers, look at the
05:45 21 source code and test the machine like we did here, and
05:45 22 they all agree, now you can have really high confidence
05:45 23 that you have a good model for how the thing works.

09:42 24 (Sealed proceedings end.)

05:45 25 THE COURT: Counsel, how much time do you

05:46 1 have left with this witness?

05:46 2 MR. SCHLESINGER: Quite a while,
05:46 3 Your Honor.

05:46 4 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we'll
05:46 5 take our break now for the evening. If you all could
05:46 6 be here by 8:45 in the morning, we will resume tomorrow
05:46 7 morning.

05:46 8 THE BAILIFF: All rise.

05:46 9 (Jury exited the courtroom.)

05:46 10 THE COURT: Thank you. You may be
11 seated.

05:46 12 Doctor, you may step down.

13 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.

05:46 14 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything we
05:46 15 need to take up?

05:46 16 Yes, sir.

05:46 17 MR. MEEK: Your Honor, nothing
05:46 18 controversial. We've talked per your request yesterday
05:46 19 about the scheduling for the rest of the week. We
05:46 20 think it's likely that the evidence will be done
05:46 21 sometime mid afternoon, and then that would leave you
05:47 22 time probably to charge.

05:47 23 If it does get done a little earlier than
05:47 24 that, it's theoretically possible that we could close,
05:47 25 but I think Your Honor doesn't like closing late in the

05:47 1 day.

05:47 2 THE COURT: I will defer to you guys. If
05:47 3 you want --

05:47 4 MR. MEEK: What we would prefer is to
05:47 5 just go ahead and set it in stone that we'll close
05:47 6 Friday morning. I think the closings will be much
05:47 7 better because you can --

05:47 8 THE COURT: That's fine with me.

05:47 9 MR. MEEK: Okay. So that's what we'll
05:47 10 plan on. Thanks.

05:47 11 THE COURT: I want to defer to what you
05:47 12 all think's best.

05:47 13 So we'll plan on getting through the
05:47 14 charge tomorrow, and then whenever that ends, it ends.
05:47 15 And we will resume Friday morning at 9:00 with closing
05:47 16 arguments.

05:47 17 Everyone good with that?

05:47 18 MR. MEEK: When will we do the charge
05:47 19 conference?

05:47 20 THE COURT: Right now.

05:47 21 So the folks that need to stay for the
05:47 22 charge conference are just the folks that need to stay
05:47 23 for the charge conference. Everyone's welcome, but no
05:47 24 one else has to.

05:48 25 (Off-the-record discussion.)

05:48

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

(Hearing adjourned.)

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT)
2 WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS)
3
4

5 I, Kristie M. Davis, Official Court
6 Reporter for the United States District Court, Western
7 District of Texas, do certify that the foregoing is a
8 correct transcript from the record of proceedings in
9 the above-entitled matter.

10 I certify that the transcript fees and
11 format comply with those prescribed by the Court and
12 Judicial Conference of the United States.

13 Certified to by me this 30th day of April
14 2023.

15
16 /s/ Kristie M. Davis
KRISTIE M. DAVIS
Official Court Reporter
800 Franklin Avenue
Waco, Texas 76701
18 (254) 340-6114
kmdaviscsr@yahoo.com
19
20
21
22
23
24
25